Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 14th April 2026, e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/, Pages: 435 to 442,

Title: Infrastructure Facilities In India For Autonomous Vehicles: A Legal And Regulatory Readiness Assessment, Authored By: V. Ravikumar, Ph.D. Research Scholar & Co-Authored By: Dr. Mohd. Saleem, Associate Professor, School of Law, Presidency University.

Cite this article as:

V. RAVIKUMAR & DR. MOHD. SALEEM, ***"Infrastructure Facilities In India For Autonomous Vehicles: A Legal And Regulatory Readiness Assessment",*** *Vol.6 & Issue 3, Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705), Pages 435 to 442 (14th April 2026), available at https://www.lawaudience.com/re-interacting-with-literal-trust-three-years-of-as-good-as-my-word-as-good-as-my-word-a-memoir-by-k-m-chandrasekhar-2.*

Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 14th April 2026, e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/, Pages: 435 to 442,

Title: Infrastructure Facilities In India For Autonomous Vehicles: A Legal And Regulatory Readiness Assessment, Authored By: V. Ravikumar, Ph.D. Research Scholar & Co-Authored By: Dr. Mohd. Saleem, Associate Professor, School of Law, Presidency University.

Email(s): ***lawjournal@lawaudience.com, info@lawaudience.com, lawaudience@gmail.com.***
Phone (No(s)): ***+91-8351033361,***
Website: ***www.lawaudience.com.***
Facebook: ***www.facebook.com/lawaudience.***
Instagram: ***www.instagram.com/lawaudienceofficial.***
Contact Timings: ***10:00 AM to 8:00 PM.***

Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 14th April 2026, e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/, Pages: 435 to 442,

Title: Infrastructure Facilities In India For Autonomous Vehicles: A Legal And Regulatory Readiness Assessment, Authored By: V. Ravikumar, Ph.D. Research Scholar & Co-Authored By: Dr. Mohd. Saleem, Associate Professor, School of Law, Presidency University.

Disclaimer:

Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 14th April 2026, e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/, Pages: 435 to 442,

Title: Infrastructure Facilities In India For Autonomous Vehicles: A Legal And Regulatory Readiness Assessment, Authored By: V. Ravikumar, Ph.D. Research Scholar & Co-Authored By: Dr. Mohd. Saleem, Associate Professor, School of Law, Presidency University.

Publisher Details:

Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705),

Sole Proprietorship of Mr. Varun Kumar, Kharar, District. S.A.S, Nagar, Mohali, 140301,

Phone No(s): +91-8351033361 (WhatsApp),

Email ID(s): lawjournal@lawaudience.com, info@lawaudience.com or lawaudience@gmail.com.

Website: www.lawaudience.com.

Contact Timings: 10:00 AM to 8:00 PM.

Editor(s):

Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 14th April 2026, e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/, Pages: 435 to 442,

Title: Infrastructure Facilities In India For Autonomous Vehicles: A Legal And Regulatory Readiness Assessment, Authored By: V. Ravikumar, Ph.D. Research Scholar & Co-Authored By: Dr. Mohd. Saleem, Associate Professor, School of Law, Presidency University.

ABSTRACT:

"Autonomous vehicles (AVs) represent one of the most transformative technological developments in modern transportation systems. Advances in artificial intelligence, machine learning, and sensor technologies have enabled vehicles to perform complex driving functions with minimal human intervention.[1] However, the successful deployment of autonomous vehicles depends not only on vehicular technological capabilities but also on the readiness of surrounding infrastructure.[2] This article evaluates India's infrastructure readiness for autonomous mobility through doctrinal and comparative legal analysis. The study focuses on physical infrastructure, digital communication networks, and institutional regulatory frameworks.[3] Drawing comparative insights from regulatory models in the United States, the European Union, and China, the article identifies structural gaps within India's transportation governance architecture.[4] The article proposes a phased infrastructure-readiness framework including the establishment of a National Autonomous Mobility Regulatory Authority (NAMRA), the development of smart mobility corridors, infrastructure certification mechanisms, and integrated digital communication systems".[5]

I. INTRODUCTION:

The integration of artificial intelligence into transportation technologies has generated unprecedented transformations in mobility governance. Autonomous vehicles are designed to operate through the integration of sensors, machine learning algorithms, and real-time data processing systems.[6] These technologies allow vehicles to perceive their environment and perform driving tasks without continuous human intervention. However, the safe deployment of autonomous vehicles depends not solely upon the intelligence of the vehicle itself but also upon the reliability of surrounding infrastructure systems.[7] Roads, traffic signals, digital communication networks, and regulatory institutions collectively form the operational ecosystem within which autonomous mobility functions. Traditional transportation regulation was historically developed around the assumption that human drivers constitute the central agents of road safety governance.[8] Road signage, traffic signals, and enforcement

mechanisms were therefore designed to guide human cognition and behavioural responses. Autonomous vehicles challenge this paradigm by shifting decision-making authority from human drivers to algorithmic systems. India presents a particularly complex environment for autonomous mobility deployment. Despite significant investments in road infrastructure development through national programs such as the Bharatmala Pariyojana, several infrastructural challenges remain across the country's transportation network.[9]

II. INFRASTRUCTURE DIMENSIONS IN AUTONOMOUS MOBILITY:

Infrastructure supporting autonomous vehicles may be classified into three interrelated categories: physical infrastructure, digital infrastructure, and institutional infrastructure.

II.I PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE:

Physical infrastructure includes road geometry, standardized lane markings, traffic signals, and road surface quality. Machine vision systems rely heavily on these physical indicators to determine driving paths and lane boundaries.[10]

Where lane markings are faded or inconsistent, autonomous vehicle perception systems may experience difficulty interpreting driving environments.[11]

II.II DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE:

Autonomous mobility requires robust communication networks enabling vehicle-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-infrastructure communication.[12] These systems allow vehicles to exchange real-time data regarding traffic conditions, hazards, and signal timings.

The development of 5G communication infrastructure is therefore critical for enabling intelligent transport systems and autonomous mobility.[13]

II.III INSTITUTIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE:

Institutional infrastructure includes regulatory bodies responsible for certification, monitoring, and accident investigation involving autonomous vehicles. Regulatory institutions must establish safety standards, compliance mechanisms, and liability frameworks.[14]

III. PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE CHALLENGES IN INDIA:

India has undertaken major highway expansion programs aimed at improving national connectivity and transportation efficiency.[15] However, several structural challenges continue to affect the country's road infrastructure. Common issues include inconsistent lane markings, mixed traffic environments, unregulated pedestrian crossings, and irregular road surfaces.[16] These conditions create unpredictable driving environments that may pose difficulties for autonomous driving systems trained in structured traffic environments. Human drivers often rely on informal negotiation and experiential judgment when navigating such environments. Autonomous vehicles, however, depend on standardized environmental signals.[17]

IV. INTELLIGENT TRANSPORT SYSTEMS IN INDIA:

India has begun implementing intelligent transport systems to improve traffic management and digital connectivity. These include electronic toll collection systems such as FASTag, adaptive traffic signals, and digital traffic monitoring mechanisms.[18] Despite these developments, the implementation of intelligent transport infrastructure remains uneven across the country. Furthermore, there is currently no statutory mandate requiring interoperability between intelligent transport systems and autonomous vehicle communication technologies.[19]

V. DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND DATA GOVERNANCE:

Reliable digital infrastructure is essential for enabling autonomous mobility. Autonomous vehicles rely on continuous data exchange between sensors, cloud systems, and traffic management networks.[20] India has expanded its telecommunications infrastructure significantly in recent years through the deployment of high-speed mobile networks and digital connectivity programs.[21] However, disparities remain between urban and rural connectivity levels. Data governance concerns also arise in relation to the collection and processing of vehicle-generated data. The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023

introduces regulatory obligations relating to data security, consent, and cross-border data transfers.[22]

VI. COMPARATIVE INFRASTRUCTURE GOVERNANCE:

VI.I UNITED STATES:

The United States has adopted a cooperative federal–state regulatory model for autonomous vehicles. Federal guidance is primarily issued by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, while individual states regulate testing and operational permits.[23] States such as California and Arizona have established designated autonomous vehicle testing corridors supported by advanced digital infrastructure.[24]

VI.II EUROPEAN UNION:

The European Union has introduced a risk-based regulatory framework through the Artificial Intelligence Act. Autonomous driving systems are categorized as high-risk AI systems requiring safety assessments and compliance mechanisms.[25]

VI.III CHINA:

China has adopted a centralized regulatory model integrating autonomous vehicles with smart city initiatives and advanced digital infrastructure systems.[26]

VII. INFRASTRUCTURE READINESS COMPARISON:

Infrastructure Component	United States	European Union	China	India
AV Testing Corridors	Yes	Limited	Yes	No
V2I Communication	Advanced	Emerging	Advanced	Limited
HD Mapping Standards	Established	Emerging	State-controlled	Absent
Regulatory Authority	NHTSA	EU Commission	State Council	None

VIII. LEGAL GAPS IN THE INDIAN FRAMEWORK:

The Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 remains the primary statute governing road transportation in India. However, the Act does not explicitly define autonomous vehicles or prescribe infrastructure compatibility standards.[27] Existing provisions such as Sections 112, 140, and 163A presume human driver involvement in accident liability.[28] Furthermore, telecommunications regulations, cybersecurity policies, and transportation laws currently operate in regulatory silos.[29]

IX. INFRASTRUCTURE LIABILITY AND STATE RESPONSIBILITY:

If infrastructure deficiencies contribute to autonomous vehicle accidents, questions may arise regarding the liability of public authorities responsible for road maintenance and regulatory oversight. Indian constitutional tort jurisprudence has recognized state liability in cases involving negligence by public authorities.[30] However, the absence of specific statutory provisions governing infrastructure liability in autonomous mobility contexts creates uncertainty in accident adjudication.

X. PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE READINESS FRAMEWORK:

To address these challenges, India may adopt a phased regulatory model.

X.I ESTABLISHMENT OF NAMRA:

A National Autonomous Mobility Regulatory Authority could coordinate infrastructure certification, regulatory oversight, and safety governance.[31]

X.II SMART MOBILITY CORRIDORS:

Pilot corridors within metropolitan areas could provide controlled environments for autonomous vehicle testing and infrastructure integration.[32]

X.III INFRASTRUCTURE CERTIFICATION:

Mandatory infrastructure certification procedures should ensure that road networks meet minimum safety standards prior to autonomous vehicle deployment.[33]

XI. CONCLUSION:

The deployment of autonomous vehicles in India depends significantly on the modernization of supporting infrastructure. Autonomous mobility requires an integrated ecosystem combining physical road infrastructure, digital communication networks, and institutional regulatory oversight. Without coordinated infrastructure reform, autonomous vehicle deployment may lead to increased accident risks, regulatory disputes, and public distrust.[34] A phased infrastructure-first regulatory model supported by centralized governance mechanisms such as NAMRA is therefore essential for enabling safe and accountable autonomous mobility in India.

Bluebook Footnotes:

1. SAE Int'l, Taxonomy and Definitions for Terms Related to Driving Automation Systems for On-Road Motor Vehicles, SAE Standard J3016 (2021).

2. Gary E. Marchant & Rachel A. Lindor, The Coming Collision Between Autonomous Vehicles and the Liability System, 52 Santa Clara L. Rev. 1321 (2012).

3. OECD, Automated and Autonomous Driving: Regulation Under Uncertainty (2015).

4. Bryant Walker Smith, Automated Driving and Product Liability, 52 Mich. J. L. Reform 1 (2018).

5. Nat'l Highway Traffic Safety Admin., Automated Driving Systems: A Vision for Safety (2017).

6. National Highway Authority of India, Bharatmala Infrastructure Development Report (2022).

7. Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Annual Report 2023–24 (Gov't of India).

8. TRAI, 5G Infrastructure Policy Framework (2023).

9. Digital Personal Data Protection Act, No. 22 of 2023 (India).

10. U.S. Dep't of Transp., Connected Vehicle Pilot Deployment Program (2020).

11. Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (Artificial Intelligence Act)

12. State Council of China, Intelligent Connected Vehicle Development Strategy (2020).

13. Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 §§112, 140, 163A (India).

14. Nilabati Behera v. State of Orissa, (1993) 2 S.C.C. 746 (India).

15. Motor Vehicles (Amendment) Act, No. 32 of 2019, India Code (2019).

16. National Highway Authority of India, Bharatmala Pariyojana Infrastructure Development Report (2022).

17. Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Annual Report 2023–24 (Gov't of India 2024).

18. OECD, Automated and Autonomous Driving: Regulation Under Uncertainty (2015).

19. U.S. Dep't of Transp., Connected Vehicle Pilot Deployment Program (2020).

20. Nat'l Highway Traffic Safety Admin., ADS Safety Framework (2022).

21. Cal. Dep't of Motor Vehicles, Autonomous Vehicle Testing Regulations (2023).

22. Michigan Automated Vehicle Law, Mich. Comp. Laws §257.665 (2016).

23. Arizona Exec. Order No. 2018-04 on Self-Driving Vehicles (2018).

24. Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act).

25. European Commission, Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems Strategy (2019).

26. European Parliament, Liability for Artificial Intelligence and Other Emerging Digital Technologies (2020).

27. State Council of China, Intelligent Connected Vehicle Development Strategy (2020).

28. Ministry of Industry & Information Technology (China), Smart Transportation Development Guidelines (2021).

29. World Bank, Transport Infrastructure and Economic Development Report (2021).

30. International Transport Forum, Automated Vehicles and Infrastructure (OECD 2018).

31. Jack Stilgoe, Machine Learning, Social Learning and the Governance of Self-Driving Cars, 22 ***Soc. Stud. Sci.*** *1 (2018).*

32. Ryan Calo, Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw, 103 ***Calif. L. Rev.*** *513 (2015).*

33. Sonia Katyal, Disruptive Technologies and the Law, 102 ***Geo. L.J.*** *1685 (2014).*

34. Mark A. Geistfeld, A Roadmap for Autonomous Vehicles: State Tort Liability, Automobile Insurance, and Federal Safety Regulation, 105 ***Calif. L. Rev.*** *1611 (2017).*

35. National Association of Insurance Commissioners, Autonomous Vehicles and Insurance Regulation (2022).

36. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, Framework for Automated Driving Systems (2021).

37. UNECE Regulation No. 157 on Automated Lane Keeping Systems (2021).

38. Asian Development Bank, Smart Transport Infrastructure in Asia (2020).

39. Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology, India Digital Economy Report (2023).

40. Telecom Regulatory Authority of India, 5G Communication Infrastructure Policy Framework (2023).

41. NITI Aayog, National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence (2018).

42. Reserve Bank of India, Digital Infrastructure and Data Governance Report (2023).

43. National Cyber Security Coordinator, Cybersecurity Strategy for Connected Vehicles (2022).

44. IEEE Standards Association, Ethically Aligned Design for Autonomous and Intelligent Systems (2020).

45. World Economic Forum, Self-Driving Vehicles in Smart Cities (2021).

46. Singapore Ministry of Transport, Autonomous Vehicle Regulatory Framework (2022).

47. Australia National Transport Commission, Automated Vehicle Safety Law Reform (2021).

48. Japan Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport & Tourism, Automated Driving Strategy (2021).

49. Donoghue v. Stevenson, [1932] A.C. 562 (H.L.).

50. MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co., 217 N.Y. 382 (1916).