

*Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 10<sup>th</sup> March 2026,  
e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at  
<https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/>, Pages: 315 to 345,*

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***



### **Cite this article as:**

ADEEBA ZAKIR & DR. AZRA ISHRAT , “*Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables*”, Vol.6 & Issue 3, Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705), Pages 315 to 345 (10<sup>th</sup> March 2026), available at <https://www.lawaudience.com/job-satisfaction-and-employee-performance-a-theoretical-review-of-the-relationship-between-the-two-variables/>.

***Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 10<sup>th</sup> March 2026,  
e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at  
<https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/>, Pages: 315 to 345,***

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

***| Copyright © 2025 By Law Audience Journal |***

***(E-ISSN: 2581-6705)***

*All Copyrights are reserved with the Authors. But, however, the Authors have granted to the Journal (Law Audience Journal), an irrevocable, non-exclusive, royalty-free, and transferable license to publish, reproduce, store, transmit, display, and distribute it in the Journal or books or in any form and all other media, retrieval systems and other formats now or hereafter known.*

*No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other non-commercial uses permitted by copyright law.*

*For permission requests, write to the publisher, subject of the email must be "Permission Required" at the email addresses given below.*

*Email(s): [lawjournal@lawaudience.com](mailto:lawjournal@lawaudience.com), [info@lawaudience.com](mailto:info@lawaudience.com),  
[lawaudience@gmail.com](mailto:lawaudience@gmail.com).*

*Phone (No(s)): +91-8351033361,*

*Website: [www.lawaudience.com](http://www.lawaudience.com).*

*Facebook: [www.facebook.com/lawaudience](http://www.facebook.com/lawaudience).*

*Instagram: [www.instagram.com/lawaudienceofficial](http://www.instagram.com/lawaudienceofficial).*

*Contact Timings: 10:00 AM to 8:00 PM.*

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

## **Disclaimer:**

*Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705) and Its Editorial Board Members do not guarantee that the material published in it is 100 percent reliable. You can rely upon it at your own risk. But, however, the Journal and Its Editorial Board Members have taken the proper steps to provide the readers with relevant material. Proper footnotes & references have been given to avoid any copyright or plagiarism issue. Articles published in **Volume 6 & Issue 3** are the original work of the authors.*

*Views or Opinions or Suggestions (**if any**) expressed or published in the Journal are the personal points of views of the Author(s) or Contributor(s) and the Journal & Its Editorial Board Members are not liable for the same.*

*While every effort has been made to avoid any mistake or omission, this publication is published online on the condition and understanding that the publisher shall not be liable in any manner to any person by reason of any mistake or omission in this publication or for any action taken or omitted to be taken or advice rendered or accepted on the basis of this work.*

*All disputes are subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of Courts, Tribunals and Forums at India only.*

***Submit your article(s) for Publications at [lawaudience@gmail.com](mailto:lawaudience@gmail.com), or  
[lawjournal@lawaudience.com](mailto:lawjournal@lawaudience.com), with subject as "Submission of Paper(s)  
for Publication in Law Audience Journal".***

*Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 3, 10<sup>th</sup> March 2026,  
e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.988, Published at  
<https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-3/>, Pages: 315 to 345,*

*Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.*

## **Publisher Details:**

*Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705),*

*Sole Proprietorship of Mr. Varun Kumar, Kharar, District.  
S.A.S, Nagar, Mohali, 140301,*

*Phone No(s): +91-8351033361 (WhatsApp),*

*Email ID(s): [lawjournal@lawaudience.com](mailto:lawjournal@lawaudience.com),  
[info@lawaudience.com](mailto:info@lawaudience.com) or [lawaudience@gmail.com](mailto:lawaudience@gmail.com).*

*Website: [www.lawaudience.com](http://www.lawaudience.com).*

*Contact Timings: 10:00 AM to 8:00 PM.*

---

## **Editor(s):**

- *Dr. Amit Yadav, Editor-In-Chief at Law Audience Journal, Associate Professor (Senior Scale) at School of Law, Manipal University Jaipur.*
- *Adv. Varun Kumar, Founder-CEO-Owner-Publisher-Publishing Editor at Law Audience Journal.*

*Editorial Board Members Details Are Available At:*

*<https://www.lawaudience.com/editorial-board-members/>.*

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

## **CHAPTER- I: INTRODUCTION I.I BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY:**

The evolution of the modern workplace has been characterized by a fundamental shift from mechanistic views of labor to a sophisticated, human-centric ecosystem where the psychological state of the individual is recognized as the ultimate driver of organizational survival.<sup>1</sup> Historically, the study of the relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance has its roots in the early twentieth century, yet the variables have never been more volatile or critical than in the current decade, marked by post-pandemic recovery and the rapid infusion of artificial intelligence. Job satisfaction is broadly defined as a pleasurable or positive emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job or job experiences, representing a complex interplay of psychological, physiological, and environmental circumstances. In parallel, employee performance is defined as the behavioral manifestation that accomplishes specific results, contributing directly to the achievement of organizational objectives. In today's hyper-competitive environment, organizations have come to view the internal human element not merely as a cost center, but as a fundamental source of improvement and strategic differentiation. This realization has led to a dual focus for management: on one hand, managers are concentrating on employee well-being, personal goals, and desires to foster a satisfied workforce; on the other, they are forced to make high-stakes organizational decisions based on the measurable performance outputs of those same individuals. The relationship between these two variables is not linear but cyclic, forming a cause-and-effect relationship where satisfaction primes performance, and high performance—when met with the appropriate rewards and recognition—reinforces satisfaction. As of 2024 and 2025, the global workforce is navigating a period referred to by researchers as "The Great Detachment," where engagement levels have fluctuated significantly following the initial optimism of the post-COVID era. Recent global data indicates that employee engagement fell to 21% in 2024, matching post-pandemic lows and resulting in a staggering lost productivity cost of approximately \$8.9 trillion, or roughly 9% of the global GDP. This global trend is particularly acute in the Indian corporate sector, which is currently experiencing a "silent crisis" of unhappiness. Despite India's rapid economic

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

growth, nearly 70% of its workforce reports being unhappy at work, with 86% of employees identifying as "struggling" or "suffering" rather than "thriving" in their professional and personal lives. The complexity of the modern professional landscape is further deepened by the emergence of the hybrid work model and the "AI-confidence-capability gap".<sup>13</sup> While flexibility has become a top priority for workers, with many willing to trade salary for remote work options, it has also introduced new stressors, such as digital isolation and the blurring of boundaries between professional and private life.<sup>2</sup> Understanding the mechanisms of the job satisfaction-employee performance cycle is therefore essential for organizational leaders who seek to build resilient, high-performing cultures in a world characterized by hyper-communication and persistent economic uncertainty.

### **I.II PROBLEM STATEMENT:**

Despite the proliferation of management tools and performance measurement systems, there remains a persistent gap in understanding the true nature of the influence between job satisfaction and performance. Traditional management paradigms often treat satisfaction and performance as separate silos, failing to account for the indirect mediating factors that sustain the relationship between them. Many organizations continue to rely on outdated annual performance reviews, which 72% of workers and 61% of managers currently view as ineffective or distrusted. This distrust erodes the "Instrumentality" link within the workforce—the belief that high performance will lead to meaningful satisfaction. The problem is particularly stark in high-pressure sectors such as Information. Technology (IT) and private banking, where performance-driven cultures often lead to "Work-to-Personal Conflict" (WPC). In the Indian context, there is a distinct "Productivity Paradox": while India boasts an engagement rate of 32%, which is significantly higher than the global average, only 14% of these same employees report they are "thriving," while 35% report daily anger.<sup>13</sup> This suggests that high performance is being extracted through methods that are depleting the psychological capital of the workforce rather than replenishing it. Furthermore, the advent of generative AI has introduced a new layer of "technostress," where 42% of workers fear their functions will be replaced, further destabilizing the satisfaction side of the organizational equation.<sup>2</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

Addressing these gaps is essential for mitigating the "Great Detachment" and ensuring that organizational success is sustainable rather than a short-term byproduct of employee burnout.<sup>8</sup>

### **I.III RESEARCH OBJECTIVES:**

***The primary objective of this study is to examine the impact of job satisfaction on employee performance through a theoretical and empirical review of contemporary organizational behavior. The following specific objectives guide the inquiry:***

- To identify the foundational and modern factors influencing job satisfaction in the current global and regional corporate climate.
- To determine the multi-dimensional determinants of employee performance, distinguishing between task-based and contextual behaviors.
- To review and model the cyclic relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance, identifying the specific mediating factors that facilitate this dual-directional flow.
- To analyze the impact of external disruptors, such as hybrid work arrangements and artificial intelligence, on the satisfaction-performance dynamic.
- To provide data-driven insights and recommendations for online and traditional retailers and corporate leaders to optimize the use of employee feedback and well-being strategies.

### **I.IV RESEARCH QUESTIONS:**

***In alignment with the identified objectives, the study addresses the following research questions:***

1. How do intrinsic and extrinsic factors uniquely contribute to job satisfaction in high-pressure corporate environments?
2. What is the specific nature of the relationship between ratings of job satisfaction and the behavioral outcomes of employee performance?
3. How does the "cycle cause and effect relationship" function in a multi-modal work environment, and what mediating factors moderate this cycle?

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

4. What are the demographic and sectoral variations in engagement and wellbeing, particularly within the Indian corporate sector for 2024–2025?
5. What strategies can organizations employ to optimize the use of technological tools and leadership coaching to enhance the job satisfaction-performance link?

### **I.V SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY:**

This study holds significant implications for multiple stakeholders within the global ecommerce and corporate landscape. For organizational leaders and managers, understanding the dynamics of the satisfaction-performance cycle provides a strategic roadmap for reputation management and customer engagement; as research consistently shows that satisfied employees are the primary drivers of customer satisfaction and financial performance.<sup>1</sup> For HR professionals, the study offers insights into the "Great Detachment," providing evidence-based strategies for reducing attrition and the high costs associated with employee replacement, which can reach up to 33% of an individual's yearly wage.<sup>8</sup> From an economic perspective, the study addresses the global productivity gap, illustrating how best-practice engagement levels could add up to \$9.6 trillion to the global GDP.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, for the academic community, this research contributes to the body of knowledge in marketing and consumer psychology by unravelling the intricate dynamics of consumer behavior and its internal organizational equivalent—employee behavior.<sup>1</sup> By synthesizing findings from 2017 through 2026, the study provides a longitudinal perspective on how the human element remains the fundamental source of improvement in an increasingly digitized marketplace.<sup>1</sup>

### **I.VI SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS:**

The scope of this study is focused primarily on the corporate sector, with specific emphasis on the IT, banking, and service industries in India and the United States, as these sectors represent the most rapid changes in performance management and wellbeing trends.<sup>12</sup> The research explores consumer behavior and employee behavior within the context of digital and physical work environments, utilizing a theoretical review approach supported by recent secondary data from 2017 to 2026.<sup>1</sup> Certain limitations must be acknowledged. First, the study relies on a thematic analysis of secondary data and literature reviews, which may be influenced by self-

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

reporting biases and the subjective nature of qualitative research.<sup>1</sup> Second, the findings may be influenced by regional demographics and cultural differences, which can limit the generalizability of results across every global market.<sup>29</sup> Lastly, while the study aims to provide a comprehensive framework, it may not encompass every potential moderating variable, such as micro-cultural shifts within specific organizations or the long-term impact of emerging labor codes yet to be fully implemented.<sup>13</sup>

## **CHAPTER II: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY II.I RESEARCH**

### **DESIGN:**

To capture the reality of the relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance in exhaustive detail, an explanatory interpretivist approach has been adopted for this study.<sup>1</sup> This qualitative research approach is designed to delve deeply into the subjective experiences and perceptions of individuals, helping to develop a theory about relationships that numerical data alone cannot fully explain.<sup>1</sup> The interpretivist design is particularly effective for exploring complex social processes, such as the behavior of workers in a multi-modal work environment, as it acknowledges that human behavior is influenced by an individual's evaluation of their personal and social realities.<sup>1</sup> This design allows the research to go beyond simple correlation, identifying the "hidden reasons" and mediating factors that drive the cyclic nature of satisfaction and performance.<sup>1</sup>

### **II.II SAMPLING STRATEGY:**

This study utilizes purposive sampling of existing literature, meta-analyses, and global workforce reports to select data that is most relevant to the research questions.<sup>1</sup> The sampling strategy prioritized data from large-scale, high-credibility sources such as the International Labour Organization (ILO), Gallup, and the ADP Research Institute, alongside peer-reviewed academic journals in management and organizational behavior.<sup>19</sup> The sample represents a diverse array of demographics, industry sectors (including IT, finance, healthcare, and education), and geographic regions, with a concentrated focus on the evolving workplace in

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

India and the United States from 2017 to 2026.<sup>1</sup> This heterogeneous selection ensures a comprehensive understanding of how factors like age, gender, and work regime (on-site, hybrid, remote) moderate the relationship between satisfaction and performance.<sup>1</sup>

### **II.III DATA COLLECTION:**

Data was collected through a systematic review of secondary sources, including text books, internet-based academic databases, and electronic journals.<sup>1</sup> The process focused on identifying scientific backgrounds, articles, and longitudinal reports that provide granular detail on worker sentiment across different roles, such as knowledge workers, skilled task workers, and blue-collar professionals.<sup>1</sup> Key documents included the 2017 theoretical review of job satisfaction and performance, the "People at Work 2025" report series, and the "India Employment Report 2024".<sup>1</sup> This variety of data sources was used to triangulate findings and ensure the reliability of the study by incorporating both global trends and regional specificities.<sup>1</sup>

### **II.IV DATA ANALYSIS:**

The study employs thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, themes, and insights from the collected research materials.<sup>1</sup> This iterative process involves coding the data—grouping related observations into overarching categories such as "Leadership Quality," "Work-Life Balance," "Reciprocity," and "Technostress".<sup>1</sup> The analysis seeks to move from descriptive summaries to explanatory insights, using the "cycle cause and effect relationship" model as a primary lens.<sup>1</sup> By synthesizing results from diverse studies, the analysis establishes how individual and organizational factors jointly and independently predict performance outcomes and emotional states.<sup>1</sup>

### **II.V ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS:**

Ethical standards have been strictly adhered to throughout the research process. The study relies on public and archived data, ensuring that the findings are reported in a way that respects the original context of the secondary sources.<sup>1</sup> Informed consent and anonymity were managed by the primary researchers of the cited datasets, and this study maintains those pseudonyms and confidentiality protocols.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, the study acknowledges the potential for "social

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

desirability bias" in self-reported survey data, where respondents may provide socially acceptable answers rather than their true perceptions.<sup>28</sup> By citing multiple, independent data sources, the research minimizes the risk of single-source bias and maintains a high level of academic integrity.<sup>1</sup>

## **II.VI METHODOLOGICAL LIMITATIONS:**

Several limitations of this qualitative methodology must be acknowledged. First, the reliance on secondary qualitative data limits the ability to ask follow-up questions to subjects for modeling purposes.<sup>42</sup> Second, secondary research is often part of an exploratory design and may not fit the exact purpose of the new research question, necessitating careful synthesis and evaluation of source accuracy.<sup>39</sup> Additionally, the lack of first-hand knowledge of the original data collection context can lead to potential "temporal misalignment," where old theories may not fully capture rapidly evolving phenomena like AI adoption.<sup>39</sup> Finally, the "descriptiveness" of qualitative data, while providing depth, sometimes faces criticism regarding methodological rigor compared to purely quantitative metrics.<sup>42</sup> These limitations are mitigated by focusing on source reputation and checking for consensus among diverse studies.<sup>39</sup>

## **CHAPTER III: LITERATURE REVIEW III.I THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK:**

The foundation for understanding the intricate relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance is built upon several prominent theoretical perspectives that explain the underlying mechanisms of human motivation and social exchange in the workplace.<sup>1</sup>

### **III.I.I THE THEORY OF REASONED ACTION (TRA):**

Applied to the organizational context, the Theory of Reasoned Action posits that an individual's behavioral intention is influenced by their attitude toward the behavior and subjective norms.<sup>1</sup> This suggests that a worker's performance—their "behavioral outcome"—is largely shaped by their internal attitudes, which are formed in part by their evaluation of their job (satisfaction) and the social cues they receive from their peers and superiors.<sup>4</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

### **III.I.II SOCIAL EXCHANGE THEORY (SET):**

At its core, Social Exchange Theory explains workplace relationships as a series of reciprocal exchanges of resources, both tangible and intangible.<sup>30</sup> The framework suggests that employees monitor their "inputs" (effort, skills, dedication) against their "outputs" (salary, recognition, growth opportunities) in a mental tally.<sup>30</sup> When an organization invests in the individual—through training or supportive leadership—the employee perceives a "sense of duty" to reciprocate with improved performance and loyalty.<sup>48</sup>

### **III.I.III HERZBERG'S TWO-FACTOR THEORY:**

Frederick Herzberg's Motivation-Hygiene Theory remains a cornerstone of job satisfaction literature, distinguishing between "Motivators" (intrinsic factors like recognition, achievement, and growth) and "Hygiene Factors" (extrinsic factors like pay, policies, and working conditions).<sup>1</sup> While motivators directly drive satisfaction and performance, hygiene factors are essential to prevent dissatisfaction; however, their presence alone does not necessarily boost long-term motivation.<sup>1</sup>

### **III.I.IV THE JOB DEMANDS-RESOURCES (JD-R) MODEL:**

Modern research often utilizes the JD-R theory to understand how various workplace elements interact to influence outcomes like engagement and burnout.<sup>2</sup> This model suggests that while job demands (e.g., workload, competition) can lead to stress and burnout, job resources (e.g., recognition, involvement, leadership) act as buffers that foster engagement and job satisfaction, ultimately driving productivity.<sup>2</sup>

### **III.II CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK:**

The conceptual framework for this study integrates these theories to visualize the JS-EP relationship as a cyclic cause-and-effect loop. The framework encompasses three main components: determinants (independent variables), job satisfaction (the mediating state), and employee performance (the behavioral outcome).<sup>1</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

Component	Variable Category	Specific Factors
<b>Independent Variables</b>	Determinants	Individual factors (age, education), task characteristics (autonomy, variety), organizational context (culture, technology), and environmental factors (economic stability). <sup>1</sup>
<b>Mediating Variable</b>	Job Satisfaction	The affective evaluation of one's job experience, representing the "End state of feeling" resulting from the appraisal of job characteristics. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Dependent Variable</b>	Employee Performance	Behavioral outcomes including Task Performance (in-role), Contextual Performance (OCB), and Adaptive Performance. <sup>1</sup>

The framework assumes a dual directionality: high levels of satisfaction lead to enhanced performance through increased energy and dedication, while high performance creates "Satisfactory emotions" through a sense of mastery and the acquisition of extrinsic rewards.<sup>1</sup>

### **III.III HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF SATISFACTION AND PERFORMANCE RESEARCH:**

***The phenomenon of analyzing worker productivity through the lens of satisfaction has evolved significantly since the early days of management science.<sup>3</sup>***

- **1900s–1920s: Taylorism and Scientific Management.** Early theories focused on physical efficiency and economic incentives, viewing the worker as a mechanistic component of the production line.<sup>3</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

- **1920s–1930s: The Hawthorne Studies.** George Elton Mayo’s research at Hawthorne Works shifted the focus to the "Human Relations" movement, identifying that morale, social groups, and supervisor attention were more critical to productivity than physical light or rest periods.<sup>3</sup>
- **1950s–1970s: The Motivation Era.** Scholars like Maslow (Hierarchy of Needs), Herzberg (Two-Factor Theory), and Locke (Goal-Setting and Value-Percept Theory) developed the foundational models that define "satisfaction" and "motivation" as internal psychological states.<sup>4</sup>
- **2000s–Present: The Engagement and Well-being Shift.** In the digital age, research has transitioned from simple satisfaction to "Employee Engagement" and "Work Meaningfulness," recognizing that in knowledge-based economies, the emotional and intellectual commitment of the worker is the primary driver of performance.<sup>22</sup>

### **III.IV THE MULTI-DIMENSIONAL NATURE OF EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE:**

***In contemporary literature, performance is no longer viewed as a monolithic output, but as a set of distinct behaviors that contribute to organizational success in different ways.***<sup>1</sup>

1. **Task Performance (In-role):** This involves the fulfillment of the duties and responsibilities specified in the job description, contributing to the core technical processes of the organization.<sup>1</sup>
2. **Contextual Performance (OCB):** Also known as Organizational Citizenship Behaviors, these are actions that are not explicitly required but support the organizational network and psychological climate, such as helping a coworker or treating colleagues with respect.<sup>1</sup>
3. **Adaptive Performance:** Emerging as a critical category in 2024–2025, this refers to an employee’s flexibility and proficiency in integrating new learning experiences and adapting to technological changes like AI.<sup>1</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

4. **Counter-Productivity (Negative Performance):** Actions that damage the organization, such as absenteeism, withdrawal, or theft, which are often the direct results of low job satisfaction.<sup>1</sup>

### **III.V DETERMINANTS OF JOB SATISFACTION AND PERFORMANCE:**

***Research has identified four primary groups of determinants that influence both variables in the modern corporate ecosystem <sup>1</sup>:***

Category	Determinants	Mechanism of Impact
<b>Individual Factors</b>	Age, Education, Experience, Personality, Self-esteem.	Influences the "Appraisal Process"; older workers (55+) report 72% satisfaction vs 57% for those under 25. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Task Characteristics</b>	Autonomy, Variety, Task Identity, Significance, Feedback.	High autonomy and feedback are strong predictors of engagement and "Thriving". <sup>53</sup>
<b>Organizational Context</b>	Leadership style, Culture, Communication, Recognition.	Managerial support accounts for 70% of the variance in team engagement. <sup>10</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

<b>Economic &amp; Environmental</b>	Salary, Security, Flexibility, Technology (AI).	Workload and "Technostress" can lead to burnout, while fair compensation mitigates financial stress. <sup>2</sup>
-------------------------------------	---	---

### **III.VI THE IMPACT OF WORK REGIME ON THE JS-EP CYCLE:**

*As of 2025, the work regime (on-site, hybrid, remote) has become a primary moderator of the relationship between satisfaction and performance.*<sup>15</sup>

- **Hybrid Work:** Generally associated with the highest levels of job satisfaction (76% reporting improved work-life balance), it facilitates better focus and "Microshifting"—structured flexibility that matches work blocks to energy levels.<sup>15</sup>
- **Remote Work:** While remote workers report higher work-life balance satisfaction and log 29 more productive minutes per day, they are also at higher risk of loneliness and "Feedback Famine," which can erode long-term engagement.<sup>8</sup>
- **On-Site Work:** Essential for collaborative office spaces and building trust quickly, on-site workers report higher engagement (21%) in some sectors compared to remote counterparts (8%), though they face the stress of commuting and lack of location autonomy.<sup>15</sup>

### **III.VII SUMMARY OF KEY LITERATURE FINDINGS:**

The synthesis of literature confirms that job satisfaction and employee performance share a deep, reciprocal link that is increasingly influenced by the "Human-Tech Balance".<sup>1</sup> Positive feedback loops are created when high-quality leadership and clear career paths instill confidence and trust in the employee.<sup>37</sup> Conversely, the lack of transparency, excessive workload, and "Career Growth Stagnation" are currently driving the "Great Detachment," particularly among younger generations who prioritize culture and flexibility over traditional compensation.<sup>8</sup> Understanding these nuanced dynamics is essential for organizational leaders seeking to enhance both employee flourishing and sustainable business performance in 2026.<sup>40</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

## **CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION 4.1**

### **DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF GLOBAL AND REGIONAL DATA**

#### **(2024–2025):**

The analysis of current workforce statistics indicates that while the global labor market has achieved post-pandemic stability, the psychological health of the workforce remains precarious. In 2024, global employee engagement stagnated at 21%, a figure that drops to 19% in certain high-growth markets like India.<sup>26</sup> This disengagement manifests as "Quiet Quitting," where employees provide the minimum effort required because their engagement needs—such as clarity of expectations and opportunities to do what they do best—are not being met.<sup>10</sup>

#### **IV.I.I THE GLOBAL PRODUCTIVITY DEFICIT:**

The economic implications of disengagement are profound. Research estimates that if organizations could reach best-practice engagement levels of approximately 70%, the world economy would see a 9% boost in global GDP, approximately \$9.6 trillion.<sup>9</sup> Currently, disengaged employees cost the global economy nearly \$9 trillion annually, highlighting the direct financial consequence of failing to maintain the satisfaction performance cycle.<sup>11</sup>

#### **IV.I.II INDIA'S "SILENT CRISIS" IN NUMBERS:**

India presents a paradoxical case in the 2024–2025 data. While the nation boasts a high engagement rate of 32% (surpassing the global average of 23%), this performance is accompanied by high emotional distress.<sup>13</sup>

Metric	Indian Workforce (2024)	Global Average (2024)
"Thriving" in Life Overall	14%	34% <sup>13</sup>
Experiencing Daily Anger	35%	N/A (Highest in S. Asia) <sup>13</sup>
Experiencing Daily Stress	32%	41% <sup>13</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

<b>Intent to Leave Job</b>	54%	N/A <sup>14</sup>
<b>Unhappy at Work</b>	70%	N/A <sup>14</sup>

This data reveals that in India, "Engagement" does not equate to "Well-being." The 86% of Indian employees who identify as "Struggling" or "Suffering" suggests that while they are remaining productive, they are doing so under significant personal strain, leading to a high "intent to quit" that threatens long-term talent retention.<sup>12</sup>

## **IV.II INTERPRETATION OF THE "CYCLE CAUSE AND EFFECT" MODEL:**

***The qualitative analysis confirms that the relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance is a continuous loop, where the success of one reinforces the other.<sup>1</sup>***

### **IV.II.I THE SATISFACTION-TO-PERFORMANCE PATH:**

Job satisfaction acts as a prime for positive organizational behavior. Satisfied employees are "Happy employees," and high happiness correlates with high dedication, vigor, and OCBs.<sup>1</sup> When employees feel they are being "rewarded justly" and that their work is "meaningful," they are far more likely to invest additional effort, leading to higher task efficiency and fewer workplace errors.<sup>10</sup> In 2025, the strongest predictors of this satisfaction-driven performance are interest in work, quality of leadership, and organizational culture.<sup>40</sup>

### **4.2.2 THE PERFORMANCE-TO-SATISFACTION PATH:**

The cycle is completed when performance leads back to satisfaction. Success in a role creates feelings of self-efficacy and mastery.<sup>1</sup> When high performance results in extrinsic rewards (salary increases, bonuses) and intrinsic recognition (praise, promotion), it validates the employee's effort and raises their overall job appraisal.<sup>1</sup> Conversely, failure to achieve targets or a lack of recognition for high performance creates "Satisfactory emotions" that are negative, resulting in withdrawal and decreased future effort.<sup>1</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

### **IV.III ANALYZING THE ROLE OF THE MANAGER AS A CATALYST:**

One of the most significant insights from the 2024–2025 research is that the manager is the primary gatekeeper of the satisfaction-performance cycle. Managers account for 70% of the variance in team engagement.<sup>9</sup>

#### **IV.III.I THE DECLINE IN MANAGER ENGAGEMENT:**

Globally, manager engagement fell from 30% to 27% in 2024, with young managers (under 35) and female managers seeing the sharpest declines.<sup>9</sup> This group is under "immense pressure," navigating conflicting demands from executives and employees while managing team restructures and AI integration.<sup>9</sup>

#### **IV.III.II THE MULTIPLIER EFFECT OF COACHING:**

Data shows that when managers are trained to "Coach, Not Control," the results are transformative. Managers who focus on guidance, support, and people development saw:

- A 22% increase in their own engagement.<sup>9</sup>
- An 18% higher engagement among their teams.<sup>9</sup>
- A 20–28% improvement in team performance metrics over time.<sup>9</sup>

#### **IV.IV THE "FLEXIBILITY VS. PAY" ULTIMATUM:**

The analysis reveals a paradigm shift in the weights employees assign to different job attributes. Salary remains the top priority globally for the fourth consecutive year, yet it is also the attribute with the highest levels of dissatisfaction.<sup>22</sup>

Attribute	Significance in 2025	Employee Sentiment
Pay/Salary	Top Job Priority (55%)	Lowest satisfaction rating (30%); 69% of low-income workers say it doesn't cover basic bills. <sup>8</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

<b>Flexibility</b>	Crucial for Retention	40% of workers would switch jobs for more flexibility; 22% demand a raise if forced to give up hybrid/remote options. <sup>8</sup>
<b>Growth/L&amp;D</b>	Key Satisfaction Factor	70% say L&D offerings need improvement; 44% of younger workers (18–34) would leave due to lack of training. <sup>60</sup>

This data suggests that while "Money doesn't buy happiness," the lack of it (as a hygiene factor) causes intense dissatisfaction. However, once a "baseline of stability" is met (often cited as an income of \$75,000+), employees prioritize "Higher-order" rewards such as flexibility, work-life balance, and autonomy.<sup>24</sup>

#### **IV.V SECTORAL AND GEOGRAPHIC VARIATIONS IN SATISFACTION:**

***The analysis of the Indian IT and Private Banking sectors highlights the impact of highpressure environments on the JS-EP cycle.<sup>20</sup>***

- **Indian IT:** Characterized by high turnover and rapid skill depreciation, it requires constant "upskilling" and "reskilling" to maintain performance.<sup>27</sup> Employees in this sector value location flexibility (autonomy) as a primary driver of engagement.<sup>35</sup>
- **Indian Private Banking:** Digitalization has surged client expectations, increasing work pressure. In these sectors, "inter-role conflicts"—the inability to balance professional and personal interests—are critical determinants that negatively impact performance.<sup>20</sup>
- **Blue-Collar Trends:** In India's blue-collar sector, 69% of hires are temporary, and tenure is short (median 21 months), creating a "Skill Gap" as productivity expectations grow but permanent investment in workers remains low.<sup>38</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

#### **IV.VI INTERPRETING THE IMPACT OF AI AND TECHNOLOGY:**

The analysis identifies "Technological Disruption Anxiety" as a significant detractor from job satisfaction in 2025.<sup>2</sup> While Level 1 AI integration (assistants) can eliminate task "pain points" and boost individual productivity, the broader adoption of AI creates a sense of "Uncertainty" regarding job stability.<sup>22</sup>

##### **IV.VI.I THE AI-CONFIDENCE GAP IN INDIA:**

In India, 97% of workers say they are comfortable with AI, yet only 52% feel they have the "Confidence" to use the specific digital tools required by their employers.<sup>12</sup> This "Confidence-Capability Gap" suggests that organizations are adopting technology faster than they are training their workforce to use it, leading to increased stress and decreased satisfaction in 2024–2025.<sup>12</sup>

#### **IV.VII COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS THEORETICAL ASSUMPTIONS:**

The findings of this study largely validate the 2017 theoretical review but add modern nuances. While the "Happy-Productive Worker" thesis holds true, the definition of "Happy" has shifted from "Content with Pay" to "Fulfilled by Flexibility and Purpose".<sup>1</sup> The correlation between satisfaction and performance remains a statistically significant moderate positive relationship ( $r = 0.339$ ), yet it is more sensitive to moderating factors like industry sector and management style than previously estimated.<sup>19</sup> Specifically, service-based industries (IT, Banking) show stronger satisfaction performance relations than manufacturing, likely due to the higher reliance on human interpersonal capital in those sectors.<sup>19</sup>

### **CHAPTER V: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS V.I**

#### **SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS:**

***The multi-year analysis of job satisfaction and employee performance reveals several foundational truths and emerging trends that define the contemporary organizational landscape:***

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

- 1. The Persistence of the Cyclic Relationship:** The dual-direction cause-and effect relationship remains the most robust model for understanding worker behavior. Satisfaction serves as a psychological lubricant that primes performance, while performance provides the behavioral achievements that reinforce an employee's positive job appraisal.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. The "Great Detachment" and Engagement Record Lows:** Global engagement has stabilized at a low 21%, indicating that 4 out of 5 workers are effectively "quiet quitting" or disengaged.<sup>8</sup> This is not just a human resource issue but an economic crisis, costing the world \$8.9 trillion in lost output.<sup>11</sup>
- 3. The Indian Productivity-Well-being Disconnect:** India's corporate sector is characterized by high engagement (32%) but extremely low thriving rates (14%). This "Productivity Paradox" indicates that employees are meeting organizational goals at the expense of their mental health, resulting in high anger, stress, and a 54% intent to leave.<sup>12</sup>
- 4. The Transformation of the Managerial Role:** The quality of the supervisor is the single most important organizational factor, accounting for 70% of team engagement variance.<sup>10</sup> Organizations that successfully train managers as coaches rather than controllers see up to a 28% improvement in performance metrics.<sup>9</sup>
- 5. Flexibility as a Non-Negotiable Attribute:** Flexibility has evolved into a "Hygiene factor" that, if removed, leads to immediate talent loss or demands for higher pay.<sup>8</sup> Hybrid workers report the highest satisfaction and productivity, credit "Micro shifting" and skip-the-commute benefits as key drivers.<sup>15</sup>
- 6. AI Disruption and the Confidence Gap:** While 80% of employees are using or experimenting with AI, the lack of structured organizational support and the fear of replacement ("Technostress") act as significant detracting forces against job satisfaction and overall content with work.<sup>2</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

## **V.II THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS:**

The findings of this study extend classical theories like Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory and Social Exchange Theory into the digital age. It demonstrates that "Reciprocity" in 2025 is built not just on economic exchange but on the "Relational investment" of flexibility and empathetic leadership.<sup>45</sup> The study also validates the JD-R model, showing that "Job Resources" like autonomy and meaningful feedback are more powerful predictors of engagement than traditional "Hygiene" factors like office environment or equipment quality.<sup>2</sup>

## **V.III PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR ORGANIZATIONS:**

From a practical standpoint, the analysis suggests that organizations must move beyond the "Annual Review" and "Static Competency" models.<sup>65</sup> Sustainable high performance in high-pressure environments (like IT and Private Banking) requires the proactive management of well-being as a strategic priority rather than a perk.<sup>12</sup> Furthermore, organizations must address the "Feedback Famine," as weekly recognition boosts an employee's sense of perceived value from 37% to 94%.<sup>8</sup>

## **V.IV RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ONLINE RETAILERS AND CORPORATE LEADERS:**

***Based on the study's findings, the following actionable recommendations are proposed:***

- **Implement "Ambient" and Continuous Feedback Systems:** Organizations should move away from the "Performance Management Distrust" associated with annual cycles. Feedback should be integrated into the daily workflow— making 70% of interactions "ambient" or requiring no additional user action—to foster a culture of continuous improvement.<sup>40</sup>
- **Invest in Manager-as-Coach Training:** Given that manager disengagement is rising, organizations must prioritize training programs that develop emotional intelligence, compassion, and coaching skills.<sup>9</sup> This training should emphasize "Human-AI Collaboration" and how to lead diverse, distributed teams with respect.<sup>9</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

- **Leverage Flexibility to Drive Retention:** Leaders should embrace "Micro shifting" and hybrid arrangements that allow employees to match work blocks to their personal energy and productivity cycles.<sup>40</sup> Hybrid policies set collaboratively between managers and employees are seen as more "Fair" and have a more positive impact on collaboration than top-down mandates.<sup>15</sup>
- **Personalize the Employee Experience (EX):** Recognition should be tailored to the individual. For Gen Z, this might mean clear career growth paths and inclusive cultures, while for older workers, it may involve more autonomy and well-being support.<sup>12</sup>
- **Bridge the AI Confidence-Capability Gap:** Instead of merely "Adopting" AI, companies should proactively train their employees to use it effectively. This reduces "Technostress" and transforms AI from a threat (Level 2 teammate) into a tool (Level 1 assistant) that enhances individual work meaningfulness.<sup>16</sup>

### **V.V SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH:**

***While this study provides an in-depth view of the 2024–2025 landscape, several areas for future inquiry remain:***

1. **Long-term Effects of AI on Career Identity:** As AI takes over more "Administrative" and even "Creative" tasks, how will this impact the 51% of workers who believe their job shapes their identity?<sup>16</sup>
2. **Cross-Cultural Analysis of "Paternalistic" Leadership:** Further research is needed to determine if the "Father figure" approach in Indian leadership effectively mitigates the 35% anger rate or contributes to it through "Authoritarianism".<sup>13</sup>
3. **Neuropsychological Indicators of Burnout in Hybrid Work:** Longitudinal studies using more objective measures of stress and engagement would help organizations refine their well-being interventions.<sup>1</sup>
4. **The Efficiency of "Micro-flexibility":** Quantifying the productivity gains of nonlinear work blocks (Microshifting) compared to standard 8-hour shifts in different industries.<sup>40</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, & Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

## **CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSION:**

The relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance is the defining nexus of organizational success in the third decade of the twenty-first century. As this study has demonstrated, these two variables are inextricably linked in a cyclic cause and-effect loop where the human element remains the fundamental engine of growth.<sup>1</sup> The "Great Detachment" of 2024–2025 and the "Silent Crisis" in markets like India serve as a stark reminder that extracting performance without replenishing satisfaction is an unsustainable business model, costing the global economy trillions in lost potential.<sup>11</sup> In the transition toward a "Tech-enabled" future, organizations that thrive will be those that master the "Human-Tech Balance," leveraging AI to remove pain points while fostering a culture of trust, reciprocity, and meaningful feedback.<sup>17</sup> The traditional annual review and top-down control systems are giving way to "Coaching" and "Continuous growth" models that treat the employee not merely as a resource, but as a thriving partner in the organizational mission.<sup>9</sup> Ultimately, as research from Hawthorne to 2026 has consistently shown, "Happy people are more productive," and the organization's primary task is to maintain the psychological and environmental conditions that keep the cycle turning.<sup>3</sup>

## **ANNEXURE: SURVEY INSTRUMENTS FOR EVALUATING THE JS-EP CYCLE**

***To help organizations track the health of their internal cause-and-effect relationship, the following indices are recommended for periodic assessment <sup>68</sup>:***

### **1. Job Satisfaction and Well-being Scale:**

- Do you feel your work is meaningful and valued by the organization? <sup>68</sup>
- Are you satisfied with the wages and salary administration relative to your performance? <sup>69</sup>
- How happy are you at work on a typical day? <sup>68</sup>
- Do you feel your benefits package (including health and mental health) is competitive?

<sup>68</sup>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

- Do you feel safe and respected in your working environment? <sup>69</sup>

## **2. Performance and Engagement Indicators:**

- Do you have the materials, equipment, and resources needed to do your job well? <sup>68</sup>
- Are your work goals clearly defined and achievable within your hours? <sup>68</sup>
- Do you feel you have the opportunity to learn more and demonstrate your skills daily?  
<sup>69</sup>
- How involved do you feel in solving problems and proposing new ideas for your team?  
<sup>71</sup>
- I managed to plan my work so that it was done on time in the past month. <sup>20</sup>

## **3. Managerial and Reciprocity Feedback Loop:**

- Does your manager ask for feedback and value it when offered? <sup>68</sup>
- Did you receive meaningful praise or recognition for your work in the past  
week? <sup>8</sup>
- Does management seem invested in your personal and professional growth? <sup>68</sup>
- Do you trust your manager to have your back in times of uncertainty? <sup>37</sup>
- How effectively does the company communicate news and handle problems  
when they arise? <sup>68</sup>

---

## **References:**

1. JOB\_SATISFACTION\_AND\_EMPLOYEE\_PERFORMANC.pdf
2. The impact of recognition, fairness, and leadership on employee outcomes: A large-scale multi-group analysis - PMC, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11717283/>
3. Job satisfaction | Business and Management | Research Starters - EBSCO, accessed on  
February 28, 2026, <https://www.ebsco.com/researchstarters/business-and-management/job-satisfaction>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

4. Job Satisfaction Theories: A Literature Review, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://ist.edu.bd/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Job-Satisfaction-Theories-ALiterature-Review.pdf>
5. Full article: Mediation effects of engagement and job satisfaction between Herzberg's theory and performance: with moderation role of abusive supervision  
- Taylor & Francis, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311975.2025.2528434>
6. A Mediation Model of Job Satisfaction in the Relationship Between Organizational Support and Interpersonal Communication Toward Employee Performance | International Journal of Business and Applied Economics, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://nblformosapublisher.org/index.php/ijbae/article/view/230/410>
7. A REVIEW OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN JOB SATISFACTION AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE - *ijamtes*, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://ijamtes.org/gallery/288.%20sep%20ijmte%20-%201022.pdf>
8. Employee & Job Satisfaction Statistics in the US (2024–2025) - HIGH5 Strengths Test, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://high5test.com/employeesatisfaction-statistics/>
9. The Gallup 2025 Workplace Report Shows Engagement Is Falling and Managers Hold the Key - Inclusion Geeks, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.inclusiongeeks.com/the-gallup-2025-workplace-report-showsengagement-is-falling-and-managers-hold-the-key/>
10. State of the Global Workplace Report - Gallup.com, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.gallup.com/workplace/349484/state-of-the-globalworkplace.aspx>
11. State of the Global Workplace: 2024 Report - AHTD, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.ahtd.org/files/state-of-the-global-workplace-2024-keyinsights.pdf>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

12. The silent crisis in India's workforce - HR Katha, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.hrkatha.com/features/research/the-silent-crisis-in-indiasworkforce/>
13. india jobs: Nearly 90% Indian employees say they are suffering ..., accessed on  
February 28, 2026, <https://m.economictimes.com/jobs/mid-career/nearly-90indian-employees-say-they-are-suffering-over-40-are-sad-gallup-workplacereport/articleshow/110931144.cms>
14. Happiness Research 2024 - Certification, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://happiestplacestowork.in/public/assets/image/HappinessResearch2024.pdf>
15. Global Indicator: Hybrid Work - Gallup.com, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.gallup.com/401384/indicator-hybrid-work.aspx>
16. Microsoft New Future of Work Report 2025, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/NewFuture-Of-Work-Report-2025.pdf>
17. 7 Trends Likely to Impact Employee Engagement and Loyalty in India in 2025,  
accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://resurgent.co.in/blog/7-trends-likely-toimpact-employee-engagement-and-loyalty-in-india-in-2025/>
18. Reinventing performance management processes won't unlock human performance.  
Here's what will. - Deloitte, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/talent/human-capitaltrends/2025/employee-performance-management-optimization-effectivestrategy.html>
19. Exploring The Relationship Between Job Satisfaction And Employee  
Performance: A Meta-Analysis - ResearchGate, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391435865\\_Exploring\\_The\\_Relationship\\_Between\\_Job\\_Satisfaction\\_And\\_Employee\\_Performance\\_A\\_Meta-Analysis](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391435865_Exploring_The_Relationship_Between_Job_Satisfaction_And_Employee_Performance_A_Meta-Analysis)
20. Employee performance in Indian IT and private banking sectors: mediation by work-  
to-personal conflict, moderation by supervisor support - Emerald Publishing, accessed

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

- on February 28, 2026, <https://www.emerald.com/ijcma/article/doi/10.1108/IJCMA-05-20250151/1272009/Employee-performance-in-Indian-IT-and-private>
21. Performance Analysis Of Employees With Respect To Private Sector Bank - Jetir.Org, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2601013.pdf>
22. People at Work 2024: A Global Workforce View | ADP Research, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.adpresearch.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/People-at-Work-2024-A-Global-Workforce-View.pdf>
23. Impact of hybrid work models on job performance: a study through the lens of workplace flexibility and self-determination theories - Emerald Publishing, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.emerald.com/ijotb/article/doi/10.1108/IJOTB-07-2025-0215/1336251/Impact-of-hybrid-work-models-on-job-performance-a>
24. Top Job Satisfaction Statistics You Should Know | 2024 Edition - 15Five, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.15five.com/blog/job-satisfaction-statistics-you-need-to-know>
25. Job Satisfaction Statistics: Are US Workers Content in 2024? | TeamStage, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://teamstage.io/job-satisfaction-statistics/>
26. 22 Key Job Satisfaction Statistics for 2026 - Emapta, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://emapta.com/blog/job-satisfaction-statistics/>
27. (PDF) Impact on Employee Performance and Engagement in Indian IT Industry, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395844454\\_Impact\\_on\\_Employee\\_Performance\\_and\\_Engagement\\_in\\_Indian\\_IT\\_Industry](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395844454_Impact_on_Employee_Performance_and_Engagement_in_Indian_IT_Industry)
28. Secondary Research Dissertation Disadvantages by Stacy Centers - Issuu, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://issuu.com/stacycentersfargo/docs/secondary\\_research\\_dissertation\\_disadvantages](https://issuu.com/stacycentersfargo/docs/secondary_research_dissertation_disadvantages)

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

29. (PDF) HYBRID WORK AND ITS IMPACT ON EMPLOYEE PRODUCTIVITY AND WELL-BEING IN TECHNOLOGY COMPANIES - ResearchGate, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/396417699\\_HYBRID\\_WORK\\_AND\\_ITS\\_IMPACT\\_ON\\_EMPLOYEE\\_PRODUCTIVITY\\_AND\\_WELLBEING\\_IN\\_TECHNOLOGY\\_COMPANIES](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/396417699_HYBRID_WORK_AND_ITS_IMPACT_ON_EMPLOYEE_PRODUCTIVITY_AND_WELLBEING_IN_TECHNOLOGY_COMPANIES)
30. Social Exchange Theory: Exploring Reciprocity, Equity, and Relationship Management in Diverse Contexts - IJFMR, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2024/6/32850.pdf>
31. A Qualitative Analysis of Job Satisfaction: Perspectives of Private School Employees, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/doctoral/6558/>
32. Conducting Thematic Analysis with Qualitative Data - NSUWorks - Nova Southeastern University, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://nsuworks.nova.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5008&context=tqr>
33. Determinants of employee performance: The mediating role of job satisfaction, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359407423\\_Determinants\\_of\\_employee\\_performance\\_The\\_mediating\\_role\\_of\\_job\\_satisfaction](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359407423_Determinants_of_employee_performance_The_mediating_role_of_job_satisfaction)
34. India Employment Report 2024 - International Labour Organization, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.ilo.org/sites/default/files/2024-08/India%20Employment%20-%20web\\_8%20April.pdf](https://www.ilo.org/sites/default/files/2024-08/India%20Employment%20-%20web_8%20April.pdf)
35. India Workforce Engagement Plummets to 19% in 2025 - ADP, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://in.adp.com/about-adp/press-centre/india-workforceengagement-plummets-to-19-percent-in-2025.aspx>
36. Job Satisfaction, Perceived Performance and Work Regime: What Is the Relationship Between These Variables? - MDPI, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3387/15/5/175>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

37. Workers' confidence and well-being rise, led by India: ManpowerGroup - SIA, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.staffingindustry.com/news/globaldaily-news/workers-confidence-and-well-being-rise-led-by-indiamanpowergroup>
38. Blue collar workforce trends 2025 - Deloitte, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.deloitte.com/in/en/services/consulting/services/humancapital/blue-collar-workforce-trends-2025.html>
39. Secondary Research Advantages, Limitations, and Sources - Relevant Insights, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.relevantinsights.com/articles/secondary-research-advantageslimitations-and-sources/>
40. Top 5 Drivers of Job Satisfaction in 2025—and What to Do About Them - CHCI, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://centerforhci.org/top-5-drivers-of-jobsatisfaction-in-2025-and-what-to-do-about-them/>
41. Thematic Analysis Examples | Best Practices - ATLAS.ti, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://atlasti.com/guides/thematic-analysis/thematic-analysisexamples>
42. Conducting secondary analysis of qualitative data: Should we, can we, and how? - PMC, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6428200/>
43. What are the limitations of using employee satisfaction surveys in measuring staff engagement and motivation? | ResearchGate, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.researchgate.net/post/What\\_are\\_the\\_limitations\\_of\\_using\\_employee\\_satisfaction\\_surveys\\_in\\_measuring\\_staff\\_engagement\\_and\\_motivation](https://www.researchgate.net/post/What_are_the_limitations_of_using_employee_satisfaction_surveys_in_measuring_staff_engagement_and_motivation)
44. Research Design and Major Issues in Developing Dynamic Theories by Secondary Analysis of Qualitative Data - MDPI, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-8954/7/3/40>
45. From Work Satisfaction to Engagement: Understanding the Role of Quality of Working Life, accessed on February 28, 2026,

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

<https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/articles/from-work-satisfaction-toengagement-understanding-the-role-of-quality-of-working-life/>

46. A comprehensive social exchange model of key employee outcomes using the psychological contract, organisational justice and orga - ANZAM, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.anzam.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfmanager/1885\\_JEPSENDENISE\\_078.PDF](https://www.anzam.org/wp-content/uploads/pdfmanager/1885_JEPSENDENISE_078.PDF)
47. (PDF) Job Satisfaction Theories A Literature Review - ResearchGate, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388411699\\_Job\\_Satisfaction\\_Theories\\_A\\_Literature\\_Review](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388411699_Job_Satisfaction_Theories_A_Literature_Review)
48. (PDF) ENHANCING EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE THROUGH TRAINING: A THEORETICAL EXPLORATION OF SOCIAL EXCHANGE THEORY - ResearchGate, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393425761\\_ENHANCING\\_EMPLOYEE\\_PERFORMANCE\\_THROUGH\\_TRAINING\\_A\\_THEORETICAL\\_EXPLORATION\\_OF\\_SOCIAL\\_EXCHANGE\\_THEORY](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393425761_ENHANCING_EMPLOYEE_PERFORMANCE_THROUGH_TRAINING_A_THEORETICAL_EXPLORATION_OF_SOCIAL_EXCHANGE_THEORY)
49. 9 Performance Management Theories And Strategies - Holistique Training, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://holistiquetraining.com/en/news/9performance-management-theories>
50. Major theories of Job Satisfaction and their use in the field of Librarianship - DigitalCommons@UNL, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=12141&context=libphilprac/1000>
51. Full article: AI and the future of industrial work: a framework for enhancing employee experience from satisfaction to flourishing - Taylor & Francis, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0144929X.2025.2596889>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

52. The influencing outcomes of job engagement: an interpretation from the social exchange theory | International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management | Emerald Publishing, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.emerald.com/ijppm/article/67/5/873/165853/The-influencingoutcomes-of-job-engagement-an>
53. (PDF) The Joint Effect Of Task Characteristics And Organizational Context On Job Performance: A Test Using SEM - ResearchGate, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242771609\\_The\\_Joint\\_Effect\\_Of\\_Task\\_Characteristics\\_And\\_Organizational\\_Context\\_On\\_Job\\_Performance\\_A\\_Test\\_Using\\_SEM](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242771609_The_Joint_Effect_Of_Task_Characteristics_And_Organizational_Context_On_Job_Performance_A_Test_Using_SEM)
54. 2278-6236 JOB SATISFACTION AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE: A THEORETICAL REVIEW OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO VARIABLES IN, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://garph.co.uk/IJARMSS/Jan2017/1.pdf>
55. Job Satisfaction Theory: 6 Factors for Happier Employees - Positive Psychology, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://positivepsychology.com/jobsatisfaction-theory/>
56. HR.com's State of Employee Productivity and Engagement 2025, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.hr.com/en/resources/free\\_research\\_white\\_papers/hrcoms-stateof-employee-productivity-and-engageme\\_mbrlgjk.html](https://www.hr.com/en/resources/free_research_white_papers/hrcoms-stateof-employee-productivity-and-engageme_mbrlgjk.html)
57. State of Hybrid Work 2025 | US Report - Owl Labs, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://owllabs.com/state-of-hybrid-work/2025>
58. 50+ employee productivity statistics, data & trends in 2026 - WorkTime, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.worktime.com/blog/statistics/employee-productivity-statisticsdata-trends-in>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

59. 2025 State of the Workforce: The Evolving Workplace, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://workforcescience.com/blog/2025-state-of-the-workforce-theevolving-workplace/>
60. Full Research: Latest HR & Talent Statistics (2025) - Compono, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.compono.com/articles/full-research-latest-hrand-talent-statistics>
61. Job Satisfaction Gap Widens Between Younger & Older Workers - The Conference Board, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.conferenceboard.org/topics/job-satisfaction/press/job-satisfaction-2025>
62. Retaining Employees in Indian Private Banking Sector - AWS, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://sdiopr.s3.ap-south-1.amazonaws.com/2024/Sep/17-Sep-24-2ed%20Time/2024\\_AJEBA\\_119251/Rev\\_AJEBA\\_119251\\_Jud\\_A.pdf](https://sdiopr.s3.ap-south-1.amazonaws.com/2024/Sep/17-Sep-24-2ed%20Time/2024_AJEBA_119251/Rev_AJEBA_119251_Jud_A.pdf)
63. Job Satisfaction and Job Performance: A Meta-Analysis - DigitalCommons@UNL, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/context/ccflfacpub/article/1035/viewcontent/Gr\\_aef\\_PB\\_1985\\_Job\\_satisfaction\\_MS\\_FINAL.pdf](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/context/ccflfacpub/article/1035/viewcontent/Gr_aef_PB_1985_Job_satisfaction_MS_FINAL.pdf)
64. A Study on Employee's Performance and its Impact on Profitability of Banks: With Special Reference to Selected public sector Bank - IJIRT, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT191469\\_PAPER.pdf](https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT191469_PAPER.pdf)
65. Performance Management In 2025 And Beyond: What's Changing And Why It Matters, accessed on February 28, 2026, [https://www.hr.com/en/magazines/human\\_experience\\_excellence\\_at\\_work/july-2025-human-experience-excellence-at-work/performance-management-in-2025-and-beyond-what%E2%80%99s-c\\_mcu9xx27.html](https://www.hr.com/en/magazines/human_experience_excellence_at_work/july-2025-human-experience-excellence-at-work/performance-management-in-2025-and-beyond-what%E2%80%99s-c_mcu9xx27.html)
66. Full article: The effect of paternalistic leadership on employee performance in Turkish resort hotels: A moderated mediation model of psychological ownership and affective commitment - Taylor & Francis, accessed on February 28, 2026, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15332845.2026.2634517>

***Title: Job Satisfaction And Employee Performance: A Theoretical Review Of  
The Relationship Between The Two Variables, Authored By: Adeeba Zakir, &  
Co-Authored By: Dr. Azra Ishrat, Assistant Professor, ABS, Amity University  
Lucknow Campus, Uttar Pradesh, India.***

67. A Guide to Writing the Dissertation Literature Review - Practical Assessment,  
Research & Evaluation - Open Publishing at UMass Amherst, accessed on February  
28, 2026,  
<https://openpublishing.library.umass.edu/pare/article/1516/galley/1467/view/>
68. 45 Sample Questions for Employee Satisfaction Surveys - HRMorning, accessed on  
February 28, 2026, <https://www.hrmorning.com/articles/employeesatisfaction-survey/>
69. D. Annexure Questionnaire On Job Satisfaction | PDF | Organizational Psychology -  
Scribd, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://www.scribd.com/document/93375636/ANNEXURE>
70. Employee Satisfaction Survey Questionnaire: Complete Guide - Empxtrack, accessed  
on February 28, 2026, [https://empxtrack.com/blog/employeesatisfaction-survey-  
questionnaire-a-complete-guide/](https://empxtrack.com/blog/employeesatisfaction-survey-questionnaire-a-complete-guide/)
71. Job satisfaction, work engagement and stress/burnout of elderly care staff: a  
qualitative research - PMC, accessed on February 28, 2026,  
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8023104/>