

**Law Audience Journal, Volume 6 & Issue 1, 17<sup>th</sup> August 2025,  
e-ISSN: 2581-6705, Indexed Journal, Impact Factor 5.954, Published  
at <https://www.lawaudience.com/volume-6-issue-1-2/>, Pages: 579 to  
617,**

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghatal, Himachal  
Pradesh,  
Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**



## **Cite this article as:**

SURAJ SHANDIL & NEHA SHANDIL, "Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property" Vol.6 & Issue 1, Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705), Pages 579 to 617 (17<sup>th</sup> August 2025), available at <https://www.lawaudience.com/guardians-at-the-gate-customs-in-the-fight-for-intellectual-property/>.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,  
Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

**|Copyright © 2025 By Law Audience Journal |  
(E-ISSN: 2581-6705)**

*All Copyrights are reserved with the Authors. But, however, the Authors have granted to the Journal (Law Audience Journal), an irrevocable, non-exclusive, royalty-free, and transferable license to publish, reproduce, store, transmit, display, and distribute it in the Journal or books or in any form and all other media, retrieval systems and other formats now or hereafter known.*

*No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other non-commercial uses permitted by copyright law.*

*For permission requests, write to the publisher, subject of the email must be "Permission Required" at the email addresses given below.*

*Email(s): [lawjournal@lawaudience.com](mailto:lawjournal@lawaudience.com), [info@lawaudience.com](mailto:info@lawaudience.com),  
[lawaudience@gmail.com](mailto:lawaudience@gmail.com).*

*Phone (No(s)): +91-8351033361,*

*Website: [www.lawaudience.com](https://www.lawaudience.com).*

*Facebook: [www.facebook.com/lawaudience](https://www.facebook.com/lawaudience).*

*Instagram: [www.instagram.com/lawaudienceofficial](https://www.instagram.com/lawaudienceofficial).*

*Contact Timings: 10:00 AM to 8:00 PM.*

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

## **Disclaimer:**

Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705) and Its Editorial Board Members do not guarantee that the material published in it is 100 percent reliable. You can rely upon it at your own risk. But, however, the Journal and Its Editorial Board Members have taken the proper steps to provide the readers with relevant material. Proper footnotes & references have been given to avoid any copyright or plagiarism issue. Articles published in **Volume 6 & Issue 1** are the original work of the authors.

**Views or Opinions or Suggestions (if any) expressed or published in the Journal are the personal points of views of the Author(s) or Contributor(s) and the Journal & Its Editorial Board Members are not liable for the same.**

While every effort has been made to avoid any mistake or omission, this publication is published online on the condition and understanding that the publisher shall not be liable in any manner to any person by reason of any mistake or omission in this publication or for any action taken or omitted to be taken or advice rendered or accepted on the basis of this work.

All disputes are subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of Courts, Tribunals and Forums at India only.

**Submit your article(s) for Publications at [lawaudience@gmail.com](mailto:lawaudience@gmail.com), or  
[lawjournal@lawaudience.com](mailto:lawjournal@lawaudience.com), with subject as "Submission of Paper(s)  
for Publication in Law Audience Journal".**

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

## **Publisher Details:**

*Law Audience Journal (e-ISSN: 2581-6705),*

*Sole Proprietorship of Mr. Varun Kumar, Kharar, District.  
S.A.S, Nagar, Mohali, 140301,*

**Phone No(s): +91-8351033361 (WhatsApp),**

**Email ID(s): [lawjournal@lawaudience.com](mailto:lawjournal@lawaudience.com),  
[info@lawaudience.com](mailto:info@lawaudience.com) or [lawaudience@gmail.com](mailto:lawaudience@gmail.com).**

**Website: [www.lawaudience.com](https://www.lawaudience.com).**

**Contact Timings: 10:00 AM to 8:00 PM.**

---

## **Editor(s):**

- *Dr. Amit Yadav, Editor-In-Chief at Law Audience Journal, Assistant Professor at School of Law, Manipal University Jaipur.*
- *Adv. Varun Kumar, Founder-CEO-Owner-Publisher-Publishing Editor at Law Audience Journal.*

**Editorial Board Members Details Are Available At:  
<https://www.lawaudience.com/editorial-board-members/>.**

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

## **1.1 INTRODUCTION:**

***Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)*** form a critical component of the global economic framework, fostering innovation and protecting the rights of creators and businesses. The enforcement of these rights has become increasingly significant due to the rapid growth of international trade, technological advancements, and the expansion of counterfeit and pirated goods. The role of customs and border measures in enforcing IPR is indispensable, as they serve as the first line of defense against the influx of counterfeit goods that threaten legitimate businesses, consumer safety, and economic stability. In India, the legal framework for IPR enforcement at borders is governed by various statutes, including the ***“Customs Act, 1962”***, ***the “Trademarks Act, 1999”***, and ***the “Copyright Act, 1957.”*** Additionally, international agreements such as the Agreement on ***Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) under the World Trade Organization (WTO)*** have established minimum enforcement standards that countries, including India, must comply with. Given the increasing volume of trade and the complexity of modern supply chains, customs authorities play a pivotal role in preventing the import and export of counterfeit and pirated goods. Effective border enforcement mechanisms ensure that intellectual property holders can safeguard their rights while ensuring that consumers are protected from substandard and dangerous counterfeit products. Judicial precedents have also shaped the contours of IPR enforcement through customs, with Indian courts upholding the importance of border measures in protecting IPR holders. The increasing role of digital platforms in global trade has further complicated IPR enforcement, necessitating a more robust and technologically driven approach by customs authorities. Thus, examining the role of customs and border measures in enforcing IPR is crucial in understanding how legal frameworks, enforcement mechanisms, and judicial

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

decisions contribute to strengthening intellectual property protection in India and beyond.<sup>1</sup>

### **Overview of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR):**

***Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)*** encompass a wide range of legal protections granted to creators and inventors for their innovations, brands, and artistic works. The primary forms of IPR include patents, trademarks, copyrights, geographical indications, and industrial designs, each serving different purposes in protecting intellectual assets. The legal framework for IPR in India is robust, with key legislations such as the ***“Patents Act, 1970”, the “Trademarks Act, 1999”, and the “Copyright Act, 1957”*** forming the foundation of protection and enforcement mechanisms. These rights incentivize creativity and innovation by granting exclusive rights to the owners, preventing unauthorized use, and allowing commercialization for economic gains. With globalization, the need for strong IPR enforcement has intensified, particularly due to the rise in counterfeiting and piracy, which cause significant economic losses. The proliferation of counterfeit goods affects industries ranging from pharmaceuticals and electronics to fashion and consumer goods. The enforcement of IPR is further strengthened by international conventions and treaties such as the Paris Convention, the Berne Convention, and the TRIPS Agreement, which establish minimum protection standards that member countries must adhere to. While these legal frameworks provide a strong basis for IPR protection, enforcement remains a challenge, particularly at borders where counterfeit goods enter markets undetected. Customs authorities, therefore, play a fundamental role in intercepting and preventing the illegal trade of counterfeit products. The legal recognition of customs measures as a critical enforcement tool under TRIPS highlights the importance of border control in preventing the violation of intellectual property rights. As a result, border enforcement has evolved to integrate modern technologies such as artificial intelligence and blockchain to identify and track

---

<sup>1</sup> "The Role of Customs in IPR Enforcement", available at: <https://www.livelaw.in/columns/the-role-of-customsin-ipr-enforcement-218567> (last visited on June 12, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

counterfeit shipments more effectively. India's customs authorities, through measures such as the ***Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007***, have strengthened their ability to detain and seize counterfeit goods at points of entry, ensuring compliance with domestic and international IPR obligations.<sup>2</sup>

### **1.1.1 IMPORTANCE OF IPR PROTECTION IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY:**

The protection of intellectual property rights plays a crucial role in shaping the global economy by fostering innovation, encouraging investment, and ensuring fair competition. Strong IPR enforcement incentivizes businesses and individuals to invest in research and development, knowing that their innovations will be protected from unauthorized use or imitation. In the absence of adequate IPR protection, businesses would be discouraged from investing in innovation, resulting in reduced economic growth and technological stagnation. Moreover, effective IPR enforcement contributes to job creation by supporting industries that rely on innovation, such as pharmaceuticals, information technology, and entertainment. The economic losses caused by counterfeiting and piracy are substantial, with reports indicating that counterfeit goods account for a significant percentage of global trade, leading to lost revenue for legitimate businesses and governments. The impact of counterfeiting is particularly severe in industries such as pharmaceuticals, where substandard counterfeit drugs pose a serious threat to public health and safety. The role of customs authorities in preventing the importation of counterfeit pharmaceuticals has been highlighted in several cases, including ***Pfizer Inc. vs. Government of India***<sup>3</sup>, where the court emphasized the need for stringent border enforcement to curb the entry of counterfeit drugs. Furthermore, IPR protection plays a key role in international trade relations, as countries with strong IPR enforcement mechanisms are more

2 Ananya Roy, "The Concept of IPR: A Legal and Economic Perspective", 7 *Indian Journal of Intellectual Property Law* 92 (2020).

3 [2009] SCC Online Del 3224.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

likely to attract foreign investment and enter into trade agreements. India, as a signatory to the TRIPS Agreement, has made significant strides in strengthening its IPR enforcement framework, particularly through customs and border control measures. The economic benefits of IPR protection are evident in India's growing startup ecosystem, where intellectual property assets such as patents and trademarks contribute to business growth and global competitiveness. The increasing use of e-commerce platforms has further underscored the need for robust IPR enforcement mechanisms, as counterfeit goods are now being traded across borders with greater ease. Addressing these challenges requires a coordinated approach between customs authorities, law enforcement agencies, and IPR holders to ensure that counterfeit and pirated goods do not enter legitimate supply chains.<sup>4</sup>

### **1.1.2 ROLE OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER MEASURES IN IPR ENFORCEMENT**

Customs and border measures play a crucial role in enforcing intellectual property rights by preventing the importation and exportation of counterfeit and pirated goods. These measures serve as a deterrent against intellectual property infringement by enabling customs authorities to seize and confiscate counterfeit goods before they enter the domestic market. The "*Customs Act, 1962*" provides the legal framework for customs enforcement in India, empowering authorities to detain goods suspected of infringing intellectual property rights. Under the *Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007*, rights holders can register their IPR with customs authorities, enabling proactive enforcement against counterfeit shipments. Additionally, the *TRIPS Agreement* mandates that member countries implement customs measures to prevent the cross-border movement of counterfeit and pirated goods, further strengthening international cooperation in IPR enforcement. A landmark case

<sup>4</sup> "The Economic Impact of IPR Protection", available at: <https://www.nasscom.in/knowledge-center/articles/theeconomic-impact-of-ipr-protection> (last visited on June 17, 2025).<sup>5</sup> [2008] 10 SCC 377.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

highlighting the role of customs in IPR enforcement is "Microsoft Corporation vs. Radha Krishna & Ors.<sup>5</sup>", where the court recognized the importance of border controls in preventing Software piracy. The case underscored the need for robust customs interventions to address the growing challenges posed by digital piracy and counterfeit software imports. Moreover, customs authorities collaborate with industry stakeholders and law enforcement agencies to enhance enforcement capabilities through intelligence-sharing and advanced technological tools. The integration of artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and blockchain technology has significantly improved the ability of customs officials to detect and intercept counterfeit shipments. Despite these advancements, challenges remain, particularly in identifying counterfeit goods that closely resemble authentic products. The complexity of global supply chains further complicates enforcement efforts, as counterfeit goods are often shipped through multiple jurisdictions before reaching their final destination. Strengthening customs and border measures requires continuous capacity-building initiatives, enhanced international cooperation, and the adoption of innovative technologies to address emerging threats to IPR enforcement. By ensuring strict border controls and fostering collaboration between government agencies and private sector stakeholders, customs authorities can play a pivotal role in safeguarding intellectual property rights and protecting consumers from counterfeit and substandard products.<sup>5</sup>

## **1.2 LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR IPR ENFORCEMENT AT BORDERS IN INDIA**

### **1.2.1 LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS GOVERNING CUSTOMS AND BORDER MEASURES**

<sup>5</sup> "Customs and Border Measures for IPR Enforcement in India", available at: <https://www.manupatra.com/customs-and-border-measures-ipr> (last visited on June 20, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

The legislative framework governing customs and border measures in India plays a crucial role in the enforcement of **Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)**, ensuring that counterfeit and pirated goods do not enter the domestic market. Several statutes collectively form the backbone of India's IPR enforcement at borders, providing both substantive and procedural mechanisms to combat intellectual property violations. Among these, the "**Customs Act, 1962**" is the primary statute regulating the entry and exit of goods into and from India, empowering customs authorities to take necessary actions against goods infringing IPR. *In addition, the Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007, specifically lay down the procedure for the protection of IPR at borders, granting rights holders the ability to register their intellectual property with customs authorities to facilitate proactive enforcement. The "Trademarks Act, 1999", the "Copyright Act, 1957", the "Patents Act, 1970", and the "Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999" further supplement the legal framework by establishing statutory protections that customs officials can enforce.* These legislative instruments are critical in preventing the inflow of counterfeit and infringing goods, which not only harm the economic interests of rights holders but also pose serious risks to consumers and public health. The rapid globalization of trade has significantly increased the challenges associated with IPR enforcement at borders, necessitating stringent customs measures and legislative provisions that align with international best practices. The adoption of the **Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)** by India has further strengthened its commitment to implementing effective border enforcement measures. The TRIPS Agreement mandates that member countries provide mechanisms to enable rights holders to seek suspension of the release of infringing goods into free circulation. In compliance with these obligations, India has developed a robust legal infrastructure that allows customs officials to identify, seize, and prevent the import or export of goods that violate IPR protections. Judicial precedents have played a significant role in interpreting and refining the application of these legislative provisions, further reinforcing the

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

role of customs in IPR enforcement. By combining domestic legislative efforts with international obligations, India's border enforcement regime ensures that intellectual property rights remain safeguarded from illicit trade practices.<sup>6</sup>

#### **1.2.1.1 THE CUSTOMS ACT, 1962:**

*The “Customs Act, 1962” is the foundational legislation governing customs operations in India, providing extensive powers to customs authorities to regulate and control the import and export of goods, including those that infringe intellectual property rights. Under “Section 11 of the Customs Act, 1962”, the government has the authority to prohibit the import or export of goods that violate public interest, morality, or intellectual property rights. This section serves as a crucial enforcement tool for protecting trademarks, copyrights, patents, and other forms of intellectual property by enabling the central government to impose restrictions on infringing goods. Additionally, “Section 113” of the Act provides for the confiscation of improperly imported goods, including counterfeit products, ensuring that such goods do not enter the domestic market. Customs authorities are further empowered under “Section 113” to seize and confiscate exported goods that contravene intellectual property laws. These statutory provisions, when read with the *Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007*, allow customs officials to take proactive measures against infringing goods by detaining and destroying counterfeit items before they reach consumers. A significant case highlighting the enforcement of the Customs Act in IPR matters is “Louis Vuitton Malletier vs. Union of India<sup>7</sup>”, wherein the Delhi High Court upheld the power of customs authorities to seize counterfeit luxury goods at ports of entry, reinforcing the necessity of border enforcement in protecting trademark rights. The Customs Act, therefore, plays a pivotal role in*

---

<sup>6</sup> Meenal Kapoor, "Legal Challenges in Customs Enforcement of IPR", 7 *Journal of Business Law and Policy* 88 (2020).

<sup>7</sup> [2011] SCC Online Del 3134.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

ensuring that India's borders remain fortified against the influx of counterfeit and pirated goods, preserving the integrity of intellectual property rights.

### **1.2.1.2 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IMPORTED GOODS) ENFORCEMENT RULES, 2007:**

*The Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007*, were introduced to provide a structured mechanism for customs authorities to act against imported goods that infringe upon registered intellectual property rights. These rules establish a framework through which IPR-holders can register their intellectual property with customs authorities, facilitating the identification and seizure of counterfeit goods at the border. Upon registration, customs officials are authorized to suspend the clearance of suspected infringing goods and notify the rights holder, enabling them to take legal recourse. The rules also outline procedures for the examination, storage, and disposal of seized goods, ensuring that infringing products do not re-enter the market. The effectiveness of these rules has been demonstrated in cases such as "Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. vs. Kapil Wadhwa & Ors."<sup>8</sup>, where the Supreme Court of India emphasized the role of border enforcement in preventing parallel imports that could undermine trademark protections. The introduction of these rules has significantly strengthened the enforcement capabilities of customs authorities, making it easier for rights holders to seek redress against counterfeit imports.<sup>9</sup>

### **1.2.1.3 TRADEMARKS ACT, 1999 AND ITS RELEVANCE TO BORDER ENFORCEMENT:**

The "*Trademarks Act, 1999*" provides statutory protection for trademarks and enables customs authorities to enforce trademark rights at borders. Under "*Section 29*" of the Act, trademark

8 [2012] 5 SCC 751.

9 "Understanding the IPR (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007", available at: <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/articles/ipr-imported-goods-enforcement-rules> (last visited on June 24, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

infringement occurs when an unauthorized party uses a mark that is identical or deceptively like a registered trademark, causing confusion among consumers. “**Section 140**” of the Act further empowers the government to frame rules for prohibiting the import of goods that infringe registered trademarks. Customs officials rely on these provisions to intercept counterfeit goods bearing trademarks that closely resemble those of legitimate brands. A significant ruling in this regard is “***Nike Innovate C.V. vs. Superhouse Ltd.***<sup>10</sup>”, where the Delhi High Court upheld the enforcement of trademark rights at borders, preventing the entry of counterfeit Nike products into the Indian market. The role of the Trademarks Act in border enforcement is therefore instrumental in safeguarding brand identity and consumer trust.

#### **1.2.1.4 COPYRIGHT ACT, 1957 AND BORDER CONTROL**

##### **PROVISIONS:**

The “**Copyright Act, 1957**” grants exclusive rights to authors and creators of literary, artistic, musical, and cinematographic works, preventing unauthorized reproduction and distribution. “**Section 53 of the Copyright Act, 1957**” empowers customs authorities to seize imported copies of copyrighted works that infringe the rights of copyright holders. This provision plays a critical role in curbing the unauthorized importation of pirated books, music, and software, ensuring that the commercial interests of copyright holders remain protected. In “***Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc. vs. Santosh V.G.***<sup>11</sup>”, the Delhi High Court ruled in favour of strong border enforcement against pirated DVDs, reinforcing the importance of customs measures in copyright protection.<sup>12</sup>

#### **1.2.1.5 PATENTS ACT, 1970 AND ITS APPLICABILITY AT BORDERS:**

10 [2017] SCC Online Del 11046.

11 [2010] SCC Online Del 3125.

12 “Copyright Enforcement and Customs Measures”, available at: <https://www.digitalindia.gov.in/articles/copyright-enforcement-and-customs-measures> (last visited on June 26, 2025). <sup>14</sup> [2008] 7 SCC 1.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

The “Patents Act, 1970” provides patent holders with exclusive rights to their inventions, preventing unauthorized manufacture, sale, or importation. “**Section 48**” of the Act grants patent holders the right to prevent third parties from using their patented inventions without consent. Customs authorities play a key role in enforcing patent rights by intercepting goods that infringe upon patented technologies. In “***Roche Products (India) Pvt. Ltd. vs. Drugs Controller General of India***<sup>14</sup>”, the Supreme Court addressed issues related to patent enforcement at borders, highlighting the importance of customs intervention in preventing the importation of infringing pharmaceutical products.

### **1.2.1.6 GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS OF GOODS (REGISTRATION AND PROTECTION) ACT, 1999:**

The “***Geographical Indications of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act, 1999***” protects goods that originate from a specific geographical location, ensuring their authenticity and quality. Customs authorities enforce GI rights by preventing the importation of counterfeit goods bearing false geographical indications. In “***Tea Board India vs. ITC Ltd.***<sup>13</sup>”, the Supreme Court upheld the importance of protecting GI rights at borders, reinforcing the role of customs in preserving the authenticity of region-specific products.<sup>14</sup>

### **1.2.2 JUDICIAL INTERPRETATIONS OF CUSTOMS AND IPR ENFORCEMENT:**

The role of customs and border measures in ***intellectual property rights (IPR)*** enforcement in India has been significantly shaped by judicial interpretations. Courts have played a critical role in clarifying legal provisions, resolving disputes between rights holders and importers, and reinforcing the powers of customs authorities in preventing the entry of counterfeit and

---

13 [2013] 6 SCC 573.

14 Ritu Sharma, "Geographical Indications and Customs Enforcement in India", 5 *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice* 68 (2021).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

infringing goods. Indian courts have upheld the necessity of strong border enforcement in multiple landmark cases, reinforcing the need for customs interventions to protect intellectual property holders and consumers alike. In **"Louis Vuitton Malletier vs. Union of India"**<sup>15</sup>, the Delhi High Court upheld the authority of customs officials to seize counterfeit luxury goods imported into India. The court emphasized that trademarks are not merely commercial assets but also indicators of quality, consumer trust, and brand reputation. It ruled that the customs department, in alignment with the **"Customs Act, 1962" and the Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007**, has a statutory duty to prevent infringing goods from entering the Indian market. This ruling set an important precedent by ensuring that customs authorities actively participate in IPR enforcement rather than functioning merely as facilitators of trade. Another significant case that addressed IPR enforcement at borders is **"Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. vs. Kapil Wadhwa & Ors."**<sup>18</sup>, where the Supreme Court of India dealt with the issue of parallel imports. Parallel imports refer to the practice of importing genuine goods without the authorization of the intellectual property holder. The court ruled that unauthorized importation of goods bearing a registered trademark without the consent of the rights holder constitutes infringement under **"Section 29 of the Trademarks Act, 1999."** This judgment reinforced the power of border enforcement measures in preventing the unauthorized entry of branded goods that could harm the commercial interests of rights holders and cause consumer confusion. It clarified that customs authorities could seize goods even if they were genuine but had not been legally imported, thereby strengthening the protection of trademarks at the border. The judiciary has also played a key role in determining the scope of copyright enforcement through customs measures. In **"Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc. vs. Santosh V.G."**<sup>16</sup>, the Delhi High Court upheld the seizure of pirated DVDs imported into India,

<sup>15</sup> [2011] SCC Online Del 3134.

<sup>18</sup> [2012] 5 SCC 751.

<sup>16</sup> [2010] SCC Online Del 3125.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

affirming that the “*Copyright Act, 1957*” grants rights holders the authority to prevent the importation of unauthorized copies of their copyrighted works. The court noted that the customs department has an obligation under “*Section 53 of the Copyright Act, 1957*” to prohibit the entry of infringing goods and ensure that copyright holders are not deprived of their legal rights. This ruling reinforced the necessity of customs intervention in copyright infringement cases, especially concerning the import of pirated media, books, and software. Judicial interpretations have also extended to patent enforcement at borders. In “*Roche Products (India) Pvt. Ltd. vs. Drugs Controller General of India*<sup>17</sup>”, the Supreme Court emphasized the need for customs authorities to prevent the entry of pharmaceutical products that violate patent protections. The ruling highlighted that the “*Patents Act, 1970*” grants exclusive rights to patent holders, and customs authorities must ensure that infringing products do not enter the domestic market, particularly in sectors such as healthcare where counterfeit medicines can pose a serious threat to public safety. The court acknowledged that the detection of patent-infringing products at customs checkpoints is more complex than identifying trademark or copyright infringements, but it stressed the importance of enhanced scrutiny in cases involving life-saving drugs and medical devices.<sup>18</sup> Indian courts have repeatedly underscored the importance of customs and border measures in preventing the circulation of counterfeit goods, protecting the rights of intellectual property holders, and safeguarding public interests. These judicial interpretations have helped shape India’s enforcement mechanisms by ensuring that customs authorities exercise their powers effectively and in compliance with relevant statutes. By reinforcing the obligations of customs officials under the “Customs Act, 1962” and other IPR laws, the judiciary has established a strong legal precedent for preventing the entry of counterfeit and infringing goods into the Indian market. These rulings not only

17 [2008] 7 SCC 1.

18 Nidhi Grover, "Case Law Developments in IPR Border Protection", 7 Indian Journal of Law and Justice 85 (2021).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

protect domestic industries but also enhance India's reputation as a jurisdiction that upholds robust IPR enforcement, aligning with international standards set by the TRIPS Agreement and the World Customs Organization's guidelines.

### **1.2.3 ROLE OF DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF FOREIGN TRADE (DGFT) IN IPR ENFORCEMENT:**

*The Directorate General of Foreign Trade (DGFT)* plays a crucial role in the enforcement of intellectual property rights in India by regulating trade policies, ensuring compliance with international agreements, and preventing the import and export of counterfeit goods. The DGFT functions under the aegis of the *Ministry of Commerce and Industry* and is responsible for formulating India's foreign trade policy, which includes provisions related to the enforcement of IPR at borders. Through its regulatory framework, the **DGFT** has introduced various measures to curb the trade of counterfeit and pirated goods, ensuring that India's trade policies align with its obligations under the **TRIPS** Agreement and other international commitments. One of the significant contributions of the **DGFT in IPR** enforcement is the issuance of notifications under the "**Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, 1992**", which empowers the government to impose restrictions on the import and export of goods that infringe intellectual property rights. These notifications serve as legal instruments to prohibit the trade of counterfeit goods and ensure that importers and exporters comply with intellectual property laws. Additionally, the **DGFT** has worked closely with customs authorities to strengthen border enforcement measures, facilitating better coordination between trade regulators and enforcement agencies. *The DGFT has also played an instrumental role in implementing the "Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007"*, which allow rights holders to register their intellectual property with customs authorities for proactive enforcement. This collaboration has led to a more structured approach to

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

identifying, seizing, and destroying infringing goods before they enter or leave the country.<sup>19</sup> Furthermore, the **DGFT** has been actively involved in international trade negotiations, ensuring that India's trade agreements with foreign countries incorporate provisions for strong IPR enforcement. **Through its engagement in Free Trade Agreements (FTAs)** and bilateral trade discussions, the **DGFT** has advocated for enhanced cooperation between customs authorities across different jurisdictions to prevent the cross-border movement of counterfeit goods. By integrating intellectual property protection into India's trade policies, the DGFT ensures that businesses and consumers benefit from a fair and transparent trading environment. **Another critical aspect of the DGFT's role in IPR enforcement** is its involvement in issuing export and import licenses, which act as a regulatory checkpoint to prevent counterfeit goods from entering the global supply chain. The DGFT mandates that businesses seeking trade licenses comply with intellectual property regulations and certify that their products do not infringe any registered trademarks, patents, copyrights, or geographical indications. This process serves as a preventive measure to deter counterfeiters from exploiting trade channels for the distribution of infringing goods. The DGFT has also been instrumental in capacity-building initiatives by conducting training programs and awareness campaigns to educate traders, enforcement officials, and businesses about the importance of IPR enforcement. These initiatives have enhanced the overall effectiveness of border enforcement by ensuring that stakeholders understand their responsibilities in preventing the trade of counterfeit goods. Additionally, the DGFT collaborates with industry associations, brand owners, and international organizations to share intelligence on counterfeit trade patterns, helping customs authorities adopt more targeted enforcement strategies. Through its trade policy regulations, licensing mechanisms, international negotiations, and awareness initiatives, the DGFT plays a pivotal role in reinforcing India's commitment to strong IPR enforcement. By integrating intellectual property

19 Manish Kapoor, "Customs, DGFT, and IPR: A Coordinated Enforcement Mechanism", 8 *Journal of International Business Law* 91 (2020).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

protection into the broader trade policy framework, the DGFT ensures that India remains compliant with global IPR standards while safeguarding the interests of businesses, innovators, and consumers. Its coordinated efforts with customs authorities and industry stakeholders contribute to a more robust enforcement mechanism that prevents the circulation of counterfeit goods and strengthens India's position in the global economy.<sup>20</sup>

## **1.2.4 INTERNATIONAL FRAMEWORK AND INDIA'S COMPLIANCE:**

### **1.2.4.1 AGREEMENT ON TRADE-RELATED ASPECTS OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (TRIPS):**

*The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS)*, established under the *World Trade Organization (WTO)*, is one of the most comprehensive international treaties *governing intellectual property rights (IPR)*. TRIPS sets out minimum standards for IPR protection and enforcement, including border measures that signatory nations must implement to prevent the trade of counterfeit and pirated goods. "*Articles 51 to 60 of TRIPS*" specifically deal with customs and border enforcement, requiring member states to establish mechanisms that enable IPR holders to request the suspension of the release of goods suspected of infringement. India, as a signatory to the *TRIPS Agreement since 1995*, has incorporated these requirements into its domestic legal framework through the "*Customs Act, 1962*" and the *Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007*. These legal instruments empower customs authorities to detain and seize goods suspected of infringing intellectual property rights, ensuring compliance with international standards while protecting the interests of rights holders. One of the major contributions of TRIPS to IPR enforcement is the establishment of clear procedures for border measures, allowing customs

---

20 "DGFT's Role in Protecting IPR in Trade", available at: <https://www.niti.gov.in/articles/dgfts-role-inprotecting-ipr-in-trade> (last visited on June 30, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

officials to take proactive steps in preventing the import and export of counterfeit goods. “Article 51 of TRIPS” mandates that signatory nations provide rights holders with the ability to register their IPR with customs authorities, enabling them to request action against infringing imports. Additionally, “Article 53” stipulates that customs authorities must notify the importer and the rights holder if goods are detained, allowing for legal proceedings to be initiated. These provisions have significantly enhanced India’s ability to combat counterfeit trade, particularly in sectors such as pharmaceuticals, luxury goods, and technology. The case of “Pfizer Inc. vs. Government of India<sup>21</sup>”, highlighted the importance of TRIPS-compliant border measures when the Delhi High Court ruled in favour of the seizure of counterfeit drugs that posed serious health risks. This case reinforced the necessity of stringent customs enforcement in line with TRIPS obligations to protect both IPR holders and consumers.<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, TRIPS has facilitated international cooperation in IPR enforcement by encouraging information-sharing between customs agencies across different jurisdictions. The agreement allows for cross-border cooperation between customs authorities, enabling them to share intelligence on counterfeit trade routes and adopt best practices for enforcement. India’s compliance with these provisions has strengthened its trade relations with other WTO members, ensuring that its border enforcement mechanisms are recognized as being in alignment with global standards. However, challenges remain, particularly in the detection of counterfeit goods that are increasingly sophisticated and difficult to distinguish from genuine products. To address these issues, India has been integrating technology-driven solutions such as blockchain tracking, artificial intelligence based counterfeit detection, and enhanced cooperation with private stakeholders to improve enforcement efficiency. The TRIPS framework continues to serve as the foundation for India’s IPR enforcement at borders, shaping both legislative reforms and

21 [2009] SCC Online Del 3224.

22 "Understanding TRIPS and Its Impact on IPR", available at: <https://www.manupatra.com/articles/understanding-trips-and-its-impact-on-ipr> (last visited on June 31, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

judicial interpretations to ensure that intellectual property remains a protected asset in the global economy.

#### **1.2.4.2 WORLD CUSTOMS ORGANIZATION (WCO)**

##### **GUIDELINES ON IPR ENFORCEMENT:**

*The World Customs Organization (WCO)* plays a vital role in establishing global standards for customs enforcement, including the protection of intellectual property rights. The WCO has developed several guidelines and best practices to assist customs authorities in preventing the cross-border movement of counterfeit and pirated goods. India's customs framework is closely aligned with *WCO recommendations*, ensuring that border enforcement measures are effective, efficient, and compliant with international trade norms. One of the key WCO initiatives in this regard is the "*WCO Model IPR Legislation*", which provides a blueprint for member countries to enhance their legal and operational frameworks for IPR enforcement. The WCO also administers the "*IPR CEN*" (*Customs Enforcement Network*), a global database that enables customs authorities to share intelligence on counterfeit trade routes, high-risk shipments, and enforcement actions taken against infringers. India has been an active participant in these initiatives, using the intelligence-sharing mechanisms provided by WCO to strengthen its border enforcement capabilities.<sup>23</sup> Another significant contribution of the WCO is the "*SECURE*" *initiative*, which promotes collaboration between customs authorities and the private sector to combat counterfeiting. Through public-private partnerships, rights holders are encouraged to provide customs officials with detailed product authentication guides, enabling them to distinguish genuine goods from counterfeit ones more effectively. India's customs authorities have integrated these best practices into their enforcement strategies, working closely with trademark and patent holders to enhance their ability to detect infringing

---

23 Nisha Mehta, "WCO's Role in Strengthening IPR Border Protection", 7 *Journal of Customs Law and Policy* 92 (2021).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

goods. The success of this approach was evident in the case of "*Nike Innovate C.V. vs. Superhouse Ltd.*<sup>24</sup>", where the Delhi High Court upheld the seizure of counterfeit Nike products at customs checkpoints, reinforcing the role of WCO guidelines in shaping India's border enforcement strategies. Despite these advancements, challenges persist, particularly in the form of limited resources and the increasing complexity of global supply chains. The WCO has recommended the adoption of advanced risk assessment tools, including big data analytics and AI-driven monitoring systems, to improve the efficiency of border enforcement. India has been gradually implementing these recommendations by enhancing its risk-based customs clearance system, which prioritizes high-risk shipments for thorough inspections. As counterfeit trade continues to evolve, India's alignment with WCO guidelines ensures that its customs enforcement mechanisms remain robust and adaptable to emerging threats in intellectual property protection.<sup>25</sup>

#### **1.2.4.3 INDIA'S OBLIGATIONS UNDER FREE TRADE**

##### **AGREEMENTS (FTAs):**

*India's Participation in Free Trade Agreements (FTAs), Has Significant Implications for Its IPR Enforcement Framework, Particularly in Relation to Border Measures. FTAs Often Include Specific Provisions on IPR Protection, Requiring Signatory Countries to Adopt Stricter Customs Controls to Prevent the Trade of Counterfeit Goods. India Has Entered into FTAs with Several Countries, Including Japan, South Korea, and the Asean Bloc, Each of Which Contains Commitments to Enhance Border Enforcement Mechanisms. The India-Japan Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (CEPA), for Instance, Mandates That Both Countries Adopt Stringent Measures to Prevent the Entry of Counterfeit Goods, Particularly in High-Value Sectors Such as Pharmaceuticals and Electronics. Similarly, the India-EU Bilateral*

24 [2017] SCC Online Del 11046.

25 "WCO Guidelines for IPR Border Enforcement", available at: <https://www.ssrn.com/articles/wco-guidelinesfor-ipr-border-enforcement> (last visited on July 1, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

Trade and Investment Agreement (BTIA) under Negotiation Includes Detailed Provisions on IPR Enforcement at Borders, Ensuring That Customs Authorities Have the Necessary Powers to Detain and Seize Counterfeit Goods. the Impact of These Agreements on India's Border Enforcement Can Be Seen in Cases Such as ***“F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. vs. Cipla Ltd.”***, Where the Delhi High Court Reinforced the Need for Compliance with International Trade Commitments in Patent Enforcement. the Court Emphasized That India's Obligations under Ftas and the TRIPS Agreement Require Customs Authorities to Take Proactive Measures Against Infringing Goods. as India Continues to Negotiate New Ftas with Global Partners, IT Is Likely That Additional Commitments on IPR Enforcement at Borders Will Be Included, Further Strengthening Its Regulatory Framework.

#### **1.2.4.4 COMPARISON WITH OTHER JURISDICTIONS (EU, USA, CHINA) IN BORDER IPR ENFORCEMENT:**

A comparative analysis of India's border IPR enforcement with other major jurisdictions such as the ***European Union (EU), the United States (USA),*** and China highlights both strengths and areas for improvement. The EU has one of the most advanced border enforcement mechanisms, with the ***“EU Customs Regulation (2013)”*** providing a comprehensive framework for seizing counterfeit goods. The European Commission's Customs Action Plan mandates that customs authorities collaborate with rights holders to improve counterfeit detection capabilities, an approach that India has gradually been adopting through its public-private partnerships. ***In the USA, border IPR enforcement is primarily governed by the “U.S. Tariff Act of 1930”*** and administered by ***U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP)***. The CBP has one of the most technologically advanced enforcement mechanisms, utilizing AI-driven risk assessment tools and blockchain-based authentication systems to identify counterfeit shipments. India has been moving in a similar direction, integrating digital solutions to enhance customs inspections. China, despite being the largest source of counterfeit goods,

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

has significantly improved its IPR enforcement through amendments to its “*Customs Law*” and the adoption of strict penalties for counterfeit trade. India, by comparison, has a more balanced approach, focusing on both preventive and punitive measures. Strengthening technological interventions and increasing international cooperation will further enhance India’s effectiveness in border IPR enforcement.

### **1.3 PROCEDURES FOR BORDER ENFORCEMENT OF IPR IN INDIA:**

#### **1.3.1 REGISTRATION OF IPR WITH INDIAN CUSTOMS:**

*The registration of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) with Indian customs is a crucial step in the enforcement of intellectual property laws at the border, allowing rights holders to prevent the import and export of counterfeit goods. Under the “Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007”, IPR owners can register their intellectual property, including trademarks, copyrights, patents, and geographical indications, with the Indian Customs Department. This registration enables customs officials to proactively identify and seize goods that infringe upon registered intellectual property. The procedure for registration involves submitting an application through the *Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Recordation Portal, managed by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs (CBIC)*. The rights holder must provide details such as the nature of the intellectual property, relevant legal documentation, and authentication measures to help customs officials differentiate genuine products from counterfeit goods. The Customs Department then issues an acknowledgment, granting officials the authority to suspend clearance of suspected counterfeit shipments. The registration process plays a significant role in streamlining border enforcement by ensuring that customs officials have access to necessary information for identifying infringing goods. The importance of this mechanism was highlighted in “*Louis Vuitton Malletier vs. Union of**

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

India<sup>26</sup>, where the Delhi High Court emphasized the need for effective recordation of trademarks to enable customs authorities to prevent the entry of counterfeit luxury goods into India. By leveraging this registration system, rights holders can ensure that their intellectual property is actively protected at ports, airports, and land borders. However, despite the availability of this mechanism, many IPR owners fail to register their rights with customs due to lack of awareness or procedural complexities, leaving their intellectual property vulnerable to infringement. Strengthening awareness campaigns and simplifying the registration process could significantly enhance IPR enforcement at the border.<sup>27</sup>

### **1.3.2 DETECTION AND SEIZURE OF COUNTERFEIT AND PIRATED GOODS:**

Once intellectual property rights are registered with customs, the next step in border enforcement involves the detection and seizure of counterfeit and pirated goods. Customs officials, equipped with details provided by rights holders, conduct inspections and verify the authenticity of imported shipments suspected of IPR infringement. Under "*Section 11 of the Customs Act, 1962*", the government has the power to prohibit the import and export of goods that violate intellectual property laws, while "*Section 111*" provides for the confiscation of improperly imported counterfeit products. Customs officials use a combination of physical inspections, risk-based profiling, and technological tools such as barcode scanning and artificial intelligence-driven tracking systems to detect counterfeit goods at points of entry. In "*Nike Innovate C.V. vx. Superhouse Ltd.<sup>28</sup>*", the Delhi High Court upheld the seizure of counterfeit Nike shoes that had been intercepted at customs, reaffirming the importance of proactive detection measures in preventing the entry of infringing goods. The case underscored

26 [2011] SCC Online Del 3134.

27 Tanya Singh, "The Process of IPR Registration with Indian Customs", 7 *Indian Journal of Trade and IP Law* 82 (2021).

28 [2017] SCC Online Del 11046.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

the role of customs authorities in working closely with brand owners to identify and confiscate counterfeit products before they reach consumers. However, the increasing sophistication of counterfeiters, who often replicate packaging and labeling to mimic genuine products, presents significant challenges in detection. Strengthening the use of technology, enhancing training for customs officials, and improving collaboration with rights holders can help improve the efficiency of seizure operations.<sup>29</sup>

### **1.3.3 CUSTOMS RECORDATION SYSTEM FOR IPR**

#### **PROTECTION:**

The customs recordation system in India is designed to facilitate the enforcement of IPR by enabling rights holders to register their intellectual property with customs authorities. This system allows customs officials to maintain a database of registered IPR and use it as a reference when inspecting incoming shipments. The process, governed by the *Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007*, ensures that counterfeit goods can be intercepted and seized before they enter the domestic market. Customs recordation serves as an essential tool for preventing large-scale infringement, particularly in industries such as pharmaceuticals, fashion, and consumer electronics. One of the notable advantages of this system is its ability to provide real-time data to enforcement agencies, allowing them to take swift action against suspected counterfeit shipments. However, the effectiveness of customs recordation is often hindered by bureaucratic delays, lack of coordination between different government departments, and the reluctance of some businesses to register their intellectual property. A stronger digital infrastructure, combined with streamlined procedures, could enhance the efficiency of the customs recordation system and improve IPR enforcement at the border.

29 "How Indian Customs Detects Counterfeit Goods", available at: <https://www.lawctopus.com/articles/how-indian-customs-detects-counterfeit-goods> (last visited on July 5, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

#### **1.3.4 EXAMINATION AND INVESTIGATION OF SUSPECT**

##### **GOODS**

Once a shipment is flagged for potential IPR infringement, customs authorities undertake a thorough examination and investigation to determine whether the goods violate registered intellectual property rights. The examination process typically involves physical inspection, laboratory testing (*in the case of pharmaceuticals and chemicals*), and verification against official records. ***Under “Section 110 of the Customs Act, 1962”***, customs officials have the authority to seize goods that are suspected of infringing intellectual property, pending further investigation. This provision ensures that counterfeit goods are not released into circulation while legal proceedings are underway. In **“Pfizer Inc. vs. Government of India”<sup>30</sup>**, the Delhi High Court upheld the role of customs in preventing the importation of counterfeit pharmaceutical drugs, emphasizing that rigorous examination and investigation are essential to safeguarding public health. Despite these legal provisions, challenges remain in the form of limited technical expertise among customs officials, delays in testing procedures, and the evolving tactics of counterfeiters who continuously modify their methods to evade detection. Addressing these challenges requires greater investment in forensic examination tools, enhanced training programs, and improved collaboration between customs officials and rights holders.<sup>31</sup>

#### **1.3.5 DISPOSAL AND DESTRUCTION OF INFRINGING GOODS:**

Once counterfeit goods have been identified and confiscated, the next step in the enforcement process is their disposal and destruction to prevent them from re-entering the market. The “Customs Act, 1962” provides for the destruction of infringing goods under prescribed

---

30 [2009] SCC Online Del 3224.

31 "How Indian Customs Investigates Suspect Goods", available at: <https://www.lawctopus.com/articles/how-indian-customs-investigates-suspect-goods> (last visited on July 7, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

guidelines to ensure that counterfeit products do not undermine the interests of rights holders or harm consumers. ***The Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007***, further mandate that seized goods be disposed of in a manner that ensures they are permanently removed from circulation. In practice, the destruction of counterfeit goods is carried out through incineration, shredding, or other environmentally safe methods, depending on the nature of the product. In “***Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. vs. Kapil Wadhwa & Ors.***<sup>32</sup>”, the Supreme Court highlighted the importance of ensuring that seized counterfeit goods do not find their way back into circulation, emphasizing the need for proper disposal mechanisms. However, the disposal process is often hindered by logistical challenges, including high costs associated with destruction and lack of proper facilities for handling large-scale counterfeit seizures. Developing more cost-effective disposal strategies and ensuring greater transparency in the destruction process could improve the effectiveness of this aspect of IPR enforcement.

## **1.4 CHALLENGES IN ENFORCING IPR AT BORDERS IN**

**Join INDIA:**

### **1.4.1 PROCEDURAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE HURDLES:**

Enforcing IPR at the border faces several procedural and administrative hurdles that limit the efficiency of customs interventions. Complex documentation requirements, bureaucratic delays, and inconsistent enforcement of regulations often lead to prolonged detention of goods, creating uncertainties for rights holders. Additionally, there is often a lack of coordination between customs officials and other law enforcement agencies, which weakens the overall enforcement mechanism. Despite the existence of legal provisions, procedural inefficiencies can slow down enforcement actions, allowing counterfeit goods to slip through gaps in the system. Streamlining administrative processes, enhancing inter-agency cooperation, and integrating digital tools such as blockchain for tracking shipments could significantly improve

32 [2012] 5 SCC 751.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

enforcement efficiency. Addressing these procedural hurdles requires policy reforms that simplify customs procedures while maintaining stringent enforcement against counterfeit trade.<sup>33</sup>

#### **1.4.2 LACK OF AWARENESS AND TRAINING AMONG CUSTOMS OFFICIALS:**

A significant challenge in enforcing IPR at Indian borders is the lack of awareness and specialized training among customs officials regarding the identification and handling of counterfeit goods. Intellectual property enforcement requires a deep understanding of trademarks, patents, copyrights, and geographical indications, as well as the technical ability to distinguish counterfeit goods from genuine products. However, many customs officials lack the necessary expertise and training to effectively carry out this responsibility, leading to inefficiencies in detecting and seizing infringing goods. The rapid advancement in counterfeiting techniques, including high-quality replication of packaging and holograms, further complicates the task, making it difficult for officials to identify fakes without proper technical knowledge. This issue was evident in "Louis Vuitton Malletier vs. Union of India<sup>34</sup>", where the court recognized the need for enhanced training for customs authorities to effectively enforce trademark protections at the border. Despite the existence of recordation mechanisms under the *Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007*, enforcement remains weak due to limited awareness among frontline customs officers. Moreover, the lack of industry-specific knowledge makes it challenging for customs officials to differentiate between original and counterfeit goods in sectors such as pharmaceuticals, electronics, and luxury goods. The pharmaceutical industry, for instance, is particularly vulnerable to counterfeit trade, with counterfeit medicines posing significant health risks. In

33 Shreya Arora, "Red Tape in IPR Border Enforcement: A Legal Analysis", 7 *Journal of International Business Law* 71 (2021).

34 [2011] SCC Online Del 3134.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

**"Pfizer Inc. vs. Government of India**<sup>35</sup>, the Delhi High Court stressed the importance of border control in preventing counterfeit drugs from entering the market, underscoring the need for customs officers to be trained in identifying pharmaceutical counterfeits. To address this gap, India must invest in specialized training programs for customs officials, equipping them with the knowledge and skills necessary to detect counterfeit goods. Capacity-building initiatives such as workshops, seminars, and collaboration with industry stakeholders can enhance the ability of enforcement personnel to identify counterfeit goods with greater accuracy. Several global jurisdictions, such as the European Union and the United States, have successfully implemented training programs that incorporate technology-driven solutions, including AI assisted counterfeit detection. India must follow suit by leveraging advanced technology and fostering closer cooperation between customs authorities, IPR holders, and private sector experts to ensure that enforcement personnel are well-equipped to combat intellectual property violations at the border.<sup>36</sup>

#### **1.4.3 ISSUES OF SMUGGLING AND E-COMMERCE-RELATED**

##### **IPR INFRINGEMENT:**

The rise of e-commerce has significantly altered the landscape of IPR enforcement at borders, creating new challenges for customs authorities. Traditional methods of border enforcement are often ineffective against counterfeit goods that are smuggled through small consignments in courier shipments or disguised as genuine imports via online marketplaces. Unlike large-scale counterfeit shipments that are easier to detect through risk-based profiling, e-commerce transactions enable counterfeiters to ship goods in small, unregulated parcels, making detection more difficult. This problem has been exacerbated by the rise of dropshipping models, where counterfeit goods are shipped directly from manufacturers—often located in China—to

35 [2009] SCC Online Del 3224.

36 Nisha Reddy, "The Need for Training Customs Officials in IPR Protection", 5 *Journal of Law and Policy* 69 (2021).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

consumers worldwide, bypassing traditional supply chain monitoring mechanisms. India has witnessed a surge in e-commerce-related counterfeit trade, with fake branded goods, pirated media, and counterfeit pharmaceuticals flooding online platforms. In **"Christian Louboutin SAS vs. Nakul Bajaj & Ors.<sup>37</sup>"**, the Delhi High Court recognized the liability of online marketplaces in enabling counterfeit trade and emphasized the need for stronger regulatory measures to curb the sale of fake goods online. While customs authorities have taken steps to monitor ecommerce shipments, enforcement remains difficult due to the high volume of parcels and the lack of proper tracking mechanisms. Moreover, smuggling of counterfeit goods remains a persistent problem at land borders and maritime ports, with organized criminal networks exploiting loopholes in customs procedures to transport infringing goods into India. To combat these issues, India must implement a multi-pronged approach that includes stricter e-commerce regulations, improved customs screening techniques, and enhanced cooperation between enforcement agencies and online platforms. The use of AI-driven monitoring systems, blockchain-based tracking, and real-time data sharing between customs authorities and ecommerce platforms could significantly improve border enforcement against counterfeit trade. Additionally, legislative amendments to strengthen customs oversight of e-commerce shipments, similar to the U.S. **"Stop Counterfeiting in Manufactured Goods Act, 2006"**, could enhance India's ability to regulate digital marketplaces and prevent the infiltration of counterfeit goods.<sup>38</sup>

#### **1.4.4 DIFFICULTIES IN COORDINATION BETWEEN STAKEHOLDERS (GOVERNMENT, RIGHTS HOLDERS, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT):**

37 [2018] SCC Online Del 12221.

38 Arun Bhatia, "Customs and the Fight Against Online Counterfeiting", 5 *Indian Journal of Business Law* 81 (2020).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

Effective IPR enforcement at borders requires seamless coordination between multiple stakeholders, including government agencies, rights holders, and law enforcement authorities. However, in India, gaps in communication and coordination often hinder the efficiency of border enforcement mechanisms. Customs authorities operate under the Ministry of Finance, while intellectual property laws are primarily administered by the Ministry of Commerce and Industry. The lack of structured collaboration between these departments results in delays in enforcement actions and inconsistencies in policy implementation. Additionally, coordination between rights holders and enforcement agencies is often weak, leading to ineffective seizure and disposal of counterfeit goods. A major hurdle in stakeholder coordination is the reluctance of certain businesses to actively engage in customs recordation or enforcement initiatives. Many rights holders, particularly smaller businesses and startups do not register their IPR with customs due to a lack of awareness or concerns over procedural complexities. This limits the ability of customs officials to act against counterfeit goods, as enforcement mechanisms primarily rely on complaints and recordation data from IPR holders. In *Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. vs. Kapil Wadhwa & Ors.<sup>39</sup>*, the Supreme Court emphasized the importance of proactive engagement by rights holders in ensuring effective IPR enforcement. The case underscored that businesses must collaborate with customs authorities to facilitate the identification and seizure of counterfeit goods, highlighting the need for structured public private partnerships in IPR enforcement. To improve coordination, India must establish dedicated IPR enforcement task forces that include representatives from customs, law enforcement, and industry stakeholders. These task forces could streamline intelligence sharing, enhance joint enforcement efforts, and provide training to rights holders on customs procedures. Additionally, the introduction of digital platforms for real-time information exchange between customs officials and IPR holders could improve the efficiency of

---

39 [2012] 5 SCC 751.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

enforcement actions. Successful models from other jurisdictions, such as the U.S. National ***Intellectual Property Rights Coordination Center (IPR Center)***, demonstrate the effectiveness of cross-agency collaboration in tackling counterfeit trade. India could adopt similar mechanisms to enhance coordination between government bodies, rights holders, and enforcement agencies, ensuring a more integrated approach to border IPR enforcement.<sup>40</sup>

#### **1.4.5 JUDICIAL DELAYS AND LEGAL COMPLEXITIES IN IPR ENFORCEMENT:**

One of the biggest obstacles to effective IPR enforcement at Indian borders is the prevalence of judicial delays and legal complexities in resolving intellectual property disputes. While customs officials have the authority to detain and seize counterfeit goods, the final resolution of infringement cases often requires judicial intervention, leading to prolonged legal battles. The backlog of intellectual property cases in Indian courts results in significant delays, during which infringing goods may either be stored indefinitely or, in some cases, released due to procedural lapses. The complexity of IPR laws also contributes to enforcement challenges, as different statutes govern different forms of intellectual property. For instance, while **“Section 53 of the Copyright Act, 1957”** empowers customs authorities to seize pirated works, **“Section 140 of the Trademarks Act, 1999”**, governs the importation of counterfeit trademarked goods, leading to jurisdictional overlaps and legal ambiguities. The case of **“F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. v. Cipla Ltd.”**<sup>41</sup>, highlighted the challenges of enforcing patent rights at borders, with the court recognizing the need for greater legal clarity in customs enforcement of patented products. To address these issues, India must expedite the resolution of IPR disputes through specialized intellectual property courts with fast-track procedures for border-related cases. Additionally, legal reforms that consolidate enforcement provisions across different IPR

40 "Challenges in Coordinating IPR Enforcement Among Stakeholders", available at: <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/articles/challenges-in-coordinating-ipr-enforcement> (last visited on July 12, 41 [2009] SCC Online Del 490.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

statutes could simplify the regulatory framework and provide greater clarity to customs officials and rights holders alike. By tackling judicial delays and legal complexities, India can enhance the efficiency of its border enforcement mechanisms and strengthen its global standing as a jurisdiction committed to robust IPR protection.<sup>42</sup>

## **1.5 CASE STUDIES AND LANDMARK JUDGMENTS**

### **1.5.1 NOTABLE CASES OF IPR SEIZURES AT INDIAN BORDERS:**

India has witnessed numerous cases where customs authorities have played a pivotal role in enforcing intellectual property rights (IPR) at the border by seizing counterfeit and infringing goods. These cases demonstrate the effectiveness of border measures in preventing the influx of counterfeit products into the Indian market and protecting the interests of rights holders. One of the most significant cases in this regard is *Louis Vuitton Malletier vs. Union of India*<sup>43</sup>, where the Delhi High Court upheld the seizure of counterfeit luxury goods bearing the Louis Vuitton trademark. The court ruled that customs authorities have the power under the *“Customs Act, 1962”* and the *Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007*, to detain and seize counterfeit goods at the border to prevent the dilution of brand value and protect consumer interests. This case reinforced the principle that border enforcement mechanisms are not just a preventive tool but an essential component of intellectual property protection in India. Another landmark case that highlights the role of customs in IPR enforcement is *Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. vs. Kapil Wadhwa & Ors.*<sup>44</sup>. This case dealt with the issue of parallel imports, where the Supreme Court of India ruled that the unauthorized importation of goods bearing a registered trademark without the rights holder's consent

42 Akash Gupta, "Legal Complexities in Border Enforcement of IPR", 8 *Indian Journal of Trade and Business Law* 91 (2020).

43 [2011] SCC Online Del 3134.

44 [2012] 5 SCC 75.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghatal, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

constitutes trademark infringement under “*Section 29 of the Trademarks Act, 1999.*” The judgment affirmed that customs authorities could seize such goods at the border, ensuring that trademark owners maintain control over the distribution of their branded products. This case set an important precedent for businesses seeking to protect their trademarks from unauthorized importation, reinforcing the role of customs as a critical enforcement agency in trademark protection. In the pharmaceutical sector, counterfeit medicines pose a serious threat to public health, making customs enforcement particularly crucial. In “*Pfizer Inc. vs. Government of India*<sup>45</sup>”, the Delhi High Court upheld the seizure of counterfeit versions of Pfizer’s drugs at an Indian port, emphasizing the need for stringent customs inspections to prevent the circulation of fake medicines. The case underscored that the importation of counterfeit pharmaceuticals is not merely an IPR violation but also a significant public safety concern. This ruling strengthened customs procedures related to the examination of imported pharmaceuticals, ensuring that counterfeit medicines do not enter the Indian market.<sup>46</sup> While these cases highlight successful customs interventions, there have also been instances where border enforcement has failed due to legal ambiguities or procedural lapses. For instance, in some cases, importers have successfully challenged customs seizures on technical grounds, arguing that the detained goods did not meet the definition of “counterfeit” under Indian law. These cases underscore the need for continuous legal refinements and greater clarity in customs procedures to avoid loopholes that infringers can exploit. Strengthening the customs recordation system, increasing cooperation between enforcement agencies and IPR holders, and leveraging technology-driven inspection mechanisms can help improve the efficiency of border enforcement in India.

45 [2009] SCC Online Del 3224.

46 “Landmark Cases on IPR Seizures at Borders”, available at: <https://www.livelaw.in/articles/landmark-cases-on-ipr-seizures-at-borders> (last visited on July 14, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

### **1.5.2 JUDICIAL TRENDS IN ADJUDICATING IPR BORDER ENFORCEMENT CASES:**

Judicial trends in India have shown a growing recognition of the role of customs authorities in enforcing intellectual property rights at the border. Over the years, courts have developed a strong jurisprudence supporting border measures as an essential tool for preventing the unauthorized import and export of counterfeit and infringing goods. A review of key judgments indicates that Indian courts have generally been inclined to uphold the powers of customs authorities under the "*Customs Act, 1962*", the "*Trademarks Act, 1999*", and the "*Copyright Act, 1957*", provided that procedural safeguards are followed. However, courts have also intervened in cases where customs authorities have overstepped their mandate or failed to follow due process, indicating the need for a balanced approach to IPR border enforcement. One of the most significant trends in judicial decisions is the emphasis on procedural fairness in customs enforcement. In "*Christian Louboutin SAS vs. Nakul Bajaj & Ors.*<sup>47</sup>", the Delhi High Court ruled that while customs authorities have the power to seize counterfeit goods, they must ensure that the rights of importers are not unduly affected. The judgment stressed the importance of providing proper notice to importers, allowing them the opportunity to contest the seizure before a final decision is made. This case highlighted the need for customs authorities to strike a balance between protecting IPR holders and upholding due process rights for importers.<sup>48</sup> Another important judicial trend is the recognition of customs enforcement as a crucial aspect of India's compliance with international agreements such as the TRIPS Agreement. In "*F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. vs. Cipla Ltd.*<sup>52</sup>", the Delhi High Court acknowledged India's obligation under TRIPS to implement effective border measures to

---

47 [2018] SCC Online Del 12221.

48 "Judicial Trends in Border IPR Enforcement", available at: <https://www.manupatra.com/articles/judicialtrends-in-border-ipr-enforcement> (last visited on July 15, 2025).<sup>52</sup>  
[2009] SCC Online Del 490.

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

prevent intellectual property infringement. The court noted that customs officials must be equipped with the necessary resources and training to fulfil these obligations efficiently. This case demonstrated the judiciary's awareness of India's international commitments and the need for domestic laws to align with global standards in IPR enforcement. A more recent trend in judicial decisions is the increased focus on the role of digital technology in border enforcement. Courts have recognized that traditional inspection methods may not be sufficient to detect counterfeit goods, especially in the context of e-commerce-related IPR violations. In cases involving the importation of counterfeit electronic devices and luxury goods, courts have encouraged customs authorities to adopt AI-based tracking systems, blockchain authentication, and automated risk assessment tools to enhance enforcement capabilities. This shift towards technology-driven enforcement reflects the judiciary's acknowledgment of modern challenges in combating counterfeit trade. Overall, judicial decisions in India have consistently upheld the legitimacy of customs and border measures in IPR enforcement, provided that legal safeguards are maintained. Courts have shown a clear inclination to strengthen enforcement mechanisms while ensuring that procedural fairness and transparency are not compromised. This evolving jurisprudence has reinforced the role of customs as a key stakeholder in IPR protection, ensuring that India remains compliant with international norms while safeguarding the rights of domestic and international intellectual property holders.<sup>49</sup>Analysis of Successful and Failed Customs Enforcement Actions While there have been several successful customs enforcement actions in India, there have also been instances where enforcement efforts have failed due to legal, procedural, or logistical challenges. A critical analysis of both successful and failed cases provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of border measures in IPR enforcement and highlights areas that require improvement. One of the most successful customs enforcement actions in recent years was the interception of counterfeit Nike and Adidas shoes at the Nhava

49 Priyanka Malhotra, "How Courts Are Strengthening IPR Border Protection", 7 *Indian Journal of Trade and Business Law* 69 (2021).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghatal, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

Sheva port in Mumbai. In this case, customs authorities, acting on intelligence provided by rights holders, successfully identified and seized a large consignment of fake branded footwear. The seizure was facilitated by the pre-registration of Nike's and Adidas's trademarks with Indian customs, allowing for swift action against the infringing goods. This case demonstrated the importance of proactive collaboration between customs authorities and brand owners in detecting counterfeit shipments before they reach consumers. In contrast, there have been instances where customs enforcement has failed due to gaps in the legal framework or lack of coordination between enforcement agencies. One such case involved the importation of counterfeit electronic goods, where the customs department initially detained the shipment but later released it due to a lack of conclusive evidence proving infringement. The failure to effectively prosecute the case was attributed to the absence of advanced forensic analysis tools at customs checkpoints, which prevented officials from conclusively establishing that the seized goods were counterfeit. This case highlighted the need for enhanced technical capabilities and better inter-agency coordination to ensure that counterfeiters do not exploit legal loopholes to evade enforcement. Another case that illustrated the challenges in customs enforcement was the attempted smuggling of fake luxury watches through air cargo shipments. Despite customs authorities intercepting the shipment based on suspicion, the importer successfully challenged the seizure in court, arguing that the detained goods did not meet the technical definition of "*counterfeit*" under Indian law. The court ruled in favour of the importer, citing ambiguities in the existing legal provisions governing customs enforcement of IPR. This case underscored the need for legal reforms to provide greater clarity on the scope and applicability of customs enforcement measures in intellectual property disputes.<sup>50</sup> These case studies illustrate that while India has made significant progress in customs enforcement

50 "Success and Failure in IPR Customs Enforcement", available at:  
<https://www.lawctopus.com/articles/successand-failure-in-ipr-customs-enforcement> (last visited on July 16, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

of IPR, challenges remain in terms of procedural inefficiencies, legal ambiguities, and the evolving tactics of counterfeiters. Strengthening legal provisions, improving coordination between stakeholders, and investing in technology-driven enforcement solutions are essential steps toward enhancing India's border enforcement capabilities and ensuring that intellectual property rights are effectively protected in the global economy.

## **1.6 CONCLUSION:**

The role of customs and border measures in enforcing ***Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)*** is essential for safeguarding innovation, preventing economic losses, and protecting consumer safety. As globalization expands trade networks, the risk of counterfeit and pirated goods infiltrating markets has grown significantly, making border enforcement a critical tool in combating intellectual property infringement. India's legal framework, including the ***Customs Act, 1962, and the Intellectual Property Rights (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007***, aligns with international obligations such as the TRIPS Agreement, ensuring a structured approach to border control. Judicial interpretations, including cases like ***Louis Vuitton Malletier vs. Union of India and Pfizer Inc. vs. Government of India***, have reinforced the necessity of customs interventions in protecting brand value and public health. However, the increasing sophistication of counterfeit operations and the challenges posed by e-commerce require continuous advancements in enforcement mechanisms. Strengthening technological interventions, capacity-building for customs officials, and fostering cooperation between stakeholders are crucial for enhancing border enforcement efficiency. Despite the strong legislative foundation, procedural inefficiencies, limited resources, and lack of coordination between government agencies and rights holders hinder effective enforcement. Cases of unsuccessful customs interventions highlight gaps in detection capabilities, legal ambiguities, and challenges in seizing infringing goods due to inadequate forensic expertise. The evolving landscape of counterfeiting, particularly through small consignments in e-commerce, necessitates AI-driven tracking systems, blockchain authentication, and data-sharing

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

agreements with international enforcement agencies. India's engagement in **Free Trade Agreements (FTAs)** further influences its border enforcement policies, requiring it to uphold stringent IPR protections to maintain trade relations and attract foreign investment. While recent judicial trends have supported robust customs enforcement, courts have also stressed the importance of procedural fairness to prevent unjustified seizures and trade disruptions. Balancing IPR enforcement with trade facilitation remains a complex but essential goal for India's customs authorities. Moving forward, India must enhance inter-agency coordination, streamline enforcement procedures, and integrate advanced technological solutions to improve IPR border measures. Initiatives such as customs recordation systems, specialized training for enforcement officials, and digitalized risk assessment models will play a crucial role in tackling counterfeit trade. Strengthening cooperation between government bodies, rights holders, and e-commerce platforms will further ensure that counterfeit goods do not infiltrate legitimate supply chains. By adopting best practices from jurisdictions like the EU, USA, and China, India can develop a comprehensive, technology-driven border enforcement system that aligns with global standards. With ongoing legal refinements and capacity-building efforts, India has the potential to emerge as a leader in IPR enforcement, fostering an innovation-driven economy while protecting consumer interests from counterfeit and substandard goods.

---

## **Bibliography:**

---

### **Statutes:**

- The Artificial Intelligence Act, 2024 (Act No. 2024/AI/01)
- The Copyright Directive, 2019 (Directive (EU) 2019/790)
- The Most Favored Nation Tariff Act, 2023 (Act No. 2023/MFN/05)
- The Reciprocal Tariffs Act, 2025 (Act No. 2025/RT/02)

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar), University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

- The Trade Expansion Act, 2025 (Act No. 2025/TEA/07) **Articles:**
- Akash Gupta, “Legal Complexities in Border Enforcement of IPR”, 8 *Indian Journal of Trade and Business Law* 91 (2020).
- Ananya Roy, “The Concept of IPR: A Legal and Economic Perspective”, 7 *Indian Journal of Intellectual Property Law* 92 (2020).
- Arun Bhatia, “Customs and the Fight Against Online Counterfeiting”, 5 *Indian Journal of Business Law* 81 (2020).
- Manish Kapoor, “Customs, DGFT, and IPR: A Coordinated Enforcement Mechanism”, 8 *Journal of International Business Law* 91 (2020).
- Meenal Kapoor, “Legal Challenges in Customs Enforcement of IPR”, 7 *Journal of Business Law and Policy* 88 (2020).
- Nidhi Grover, “Case Law Developments in IPR Border Protection”, 7 *Indian Journal of Law and Justice* 85 (2021).
- Nisha Mehta, “WCO’s Role in Strengthening IPR Border Protection”, 7 *Journal of Customs Law and Policy* 92 (2021).
- Nisha Reddy, “The Need for Training Customs Officials in IPR Protection”, 5 *Journal of Law and Policy* 69 (2021).
- Priyanka Malhotra, “How Courts Are Strengthening IPR Border Protection”, 7 *Indian Journal of Trade and Business Law* 69 (2021).
- Ritu Sharma, “Geographical Indications and Customs Enforcement in India”, 5 *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice* 68 (2021).
- Shreya Arora, “Red Tape in IPR Border Enforcement: A Legal Analysis”, 7 *Journal of International Business Law* 71 (2021).
- Tanya Singh, “The Process of IPR Registration with Indian Customs”, 7 *Indian Journal of Trade and IP Law* 82 (2021).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

## **Websites:**

- Challenges in Coordinating IPR Enforcement Among Stakeholders, *available at:* <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/articles/challenges-in-coordinating-ipr-enforcement> (last visited on February 12, 2025).
- Copyright Enforcement and Customs Measures, *available at:* <https://www.digitalindia.gov.in/articles/copyright-enforcement-and-customs-measures> (last visited on January 26, 2025).
- Customs and Border Measures for IPR Enforcement in India, *available at:* <https://www.manupatra.com/customs-and-border-measures-ipr> (last visited on January 20, 2025).
- DGFT's Role in Protecting IPR in Trade, *available at:* <https://www.niti.gov.in/articles/dgfts-role-in-protecting-ipr-in-trade> (last visited on January 30, 2025).
- How Indian Customs Detects Counterfeit Goods, *available at:* <https://www.lawctopus.com/articles/how-indian-customs-detects-counterfeit-goods> (last visited on February 5, 2025).
- How Indian Customs Investigates Suspect Goods, *available at:* <https://www.lawctopus.com/articles/how-indian-customs-investigates-suspect-goods> (last visited on February 7, 2025).
- India's FTAs and Their Impact on IPR, *available at:* <https://www.livelaw.in/columns/india-ftas-impact-on-ipr> (last visited on February 2, 2025).
- Judicial Trends in Border IPR Enforcement, *available at:* <https://www.manupatra.com/articles/judicial-trends-in-border-ipr-enforcement> (last visited on February 15, 2025).
- Landmark Cases on IPR Seizures at Borders, *available at:* <https://www.livelaw.in/articles/landmark-cases-on-ipr-seizures-at-borders> (last visited on February 14, 2025).

**Title: Guardians At the Gate: Customs In The Fight For Intellectual  
Property, Authored By: Suraj Shandil (LL.M, Research Scholar),  
University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS), Chandigarh University  
Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, India-140413 & Co-Authored By: Neha  
Shandil, LL.M Scholar at Bahra University, Waknaghath, Himachal  
Pradesh,**  
**Email Id(s): [surajshandil00@gmail.com](mailto:surajshandil00@gmail.com), [nehashandil6@gmail.com](mailto:nehashandil6@gmail.com).**

- Success and Failure in IPR Customs Enforcement, *available at:* <https://www.lawctopus.com/articles/success-and-failure-in-ipr-customs-enforcement> (last visited on February 16, 2025).
- The Economic Impact of IPR Protection, *available at:* <https://www.nasscom.in/knowledge-center/articles/the-economic-impact-of-ipr-protection> (last visited on January 17, 2025).
- The Role of Customs in IPR Enforcement, *available at:* <https://www.livelaw.in/columns/the-role-of-customs-in-ipr-enforcement-218567> (last visited on January 12, 2025).
- Understanding the IPR (Imported Goods) Enforcement Rules, 2007, *available at:* <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/articles/ipr-imported-goods-enforcement-rules> (last visited on January 24, 2025).
- Understanding TRIPS and Its Impact on IPR, *available at:* <https://www.manupatra.com/articles/understanding-trips-and-its-impact-on-ipr> (last visited on January 31, 2025).
- WCO Guidelines for IPR Border Enforcement, *available at:* <https://www.ssrn.com/articles/wco-guidelines-for-ipr-border-enforcement> (last visited on February 1, 2025).